

Peace through practical, proved civil defence for credible war deterrence

-

Please see also post linked here, and our summary of the key points in Herman Kahn's much-abused call for credible deterrence, *On Thernuclear War*, linked here.

-

Hiroshima's air raid shelters were unoccupied because Japanese Army officers were having breakfast when B29s were detected far away, says Yoshie Oka, the operator of the Hiroshima air raid sirens on 6 August 1945...

-

In 1,881 burns cases in Hiroshima, only 17 (or 0.9 percent) were due to ignited clothing and 15 (or 0.7%) were due to the firestorm flames...

-

Dr Harold L. Brode's new book, Nuclear Weapons in ...

-

800 war migrants drowned on 22 April by EU policy:...

-

Photographed fireball shielding by cloud cover in ...

-

Nuclear weapons effects "firestorm" and "nuclear w...

-

Proved 97.5% survival in completely demolished houses ...

"There has never been a war yet which, if the facts had been put calmly before the ordinary folk, could not have been prevented." - British Foreign Secretary Ernest Bevin, House of Commons Debate on Foreign Affairs, Hansard, 23 November 1945, column 786 (unfortunately secret Cabinet committees called "democracy" for propaganda purposes have not been quite so successful in preventing war). Protection is needed against collateral civilian damage and contamination in conventional, chemical and nuclear attack, with credible low yield clean nuclear deterrence against conventional warfare which, in reality (not science fiction) costs far more lives. Anti scientific media, who promulgate and exploit terrorism for profit, censor (1) vital, effective civil defense knowledge and (2) effective, safe, low yield air burst clean weapons like the Mk54 and W79 which deter conventional warfare and escalation, allowing arms negotiations from a position of strength. This helped end the Cold War in the 1980s. Opposing civil defense and nuclear weapons that really deter conventional war, is complacent and dangerous.

War and coercion dangers have not stemmed from those who openly attack mainstream mistakes, but from those who camouflage themselves as freedom fighters to ban such free criticism itself, by making the key facts seem taboo, without even a proper debate, let alone financing research into unfashionable alternatives. Research and education in non-mainstream alternatives is needed before an unprejudiced debate, to establish all the basic facts for a real debate. "Wisdom itself cannot flourish, nor even truth be determined, without the give and take of debate and criticism." – Robert Oppenheimer (quotation from the H-bomb TV debate hosted by Eleanor Roosevelt, 12 February 1950).

"Apologies for freedom? I can't handle this! ... Deal from strength or get crushed every time ... Freedom demands liberty everywhere. I'm thinking, you see, it's not so easy. But we have to stand up tall and answer freedom's call!" – Freedom Kids

Archives

Tuesday, May 23, 2017

Anti nuclear terrorist Jeremy Corbyn

Update (3 June 2017): Last night in a fake news BBC TV debate, the moderator allowed Jeremy Corbyn to pass off his terrorism support as being a contribution to the Good Friday Peace Agreement. This is fake news: Jeremy Corbyn wanted blackmail, surrender to the IRA's demands and an exit for the British Army in 1984. What really happened was that anti-terrorist "Peace Walls", the British Army, MI5, and the RUC defeated the thugs before signing a peace agreement, the exact opposite of Jeremy Corbyn's lie.

Only last week Corbyn again made another lying speech urging a surrender to terrorism, a continuation of his anti-deterrence, anti-civil defence CND lie. The BBC has a proved, admitted history of using censorship as a device for enforcing one-sided propaganda and fake news in election "debates". They permit Corbyn to use "fake news" to dismiss criticisms with no further probing, while falsely presenting endless hate attacks on opponents of international climate communism like Trump (who opposes the fear-mongering based fascist hate attacks on anyone who questions the stupidity of spending billions on a half ass effort to halt capitalism for the alleged saving of a 0.2C increase in global temperature, when there are civil wars raging which need walls to stop, walls which are again opposed by the communists).

Stepheu Pollard explained in a Daily Express article on 26 April 2017 (page 12) that Corbyn is in effect acting as a communist terrorism stooge infiltrating the Labour Party with hatred and racism: "Take his endorsement yesterday by the Communist Party ... it's no joke. We sometimes need to remind ourselves that communists are not pie-in-the-sky idealists but proponents of an ideology that leads to mass slaughter and the destruction of freedom and democracy. Communism is merely another form of totalitarianism. For every Hitler there is a Stalin. For every Idi Amin there is a Hugo Chavez. ... [Jeremy Corbyn's] presence at a celebration in 2014 of the 35th anniversary of the ayatollahs seizing power in Iran. Mr Corbyn spoke on 'the case for Iran', alongside the British representative of the Mahdi Army militia, a group which between 2004 and 2008 killed at least 70 British soldiers in Iraq. ... He has described members of the terrorist group Hamas as 'friends'. He has praised British representatives of Hezbollah, the Iranian funded terror outfit.

"And in November 2012 he hosted a meeting in Parliament with Mousa Abu Maria, a member of the banned terrorist group, Palestinian Islamic Jihad. He has attended events run by Paul Eisen, a notorious Holocaust denier. ... And he sponsored the visit to Britain of anti-Semitic hate preacher Sheikh Raed Salah. Salah says that 9/11 was a Jewish plot ... he calls Jewish people 'monkeys' and 'bacteria'. ... When the government tried to have Salah barred from Britain, Mr Corbyn described him as 'a very honoured citizen ...'."

With another violent terrorist related bomb attack yesterday, this time in Manchester rather than Syria, Iraq or Afghanistan (where similar attacks occur regularly), it's worth re-examining the prospects for openly pro-terrorism movement supporter (but allegedly anti-violence) Jeremy Corbyn to become Prime Minister.



True screen shot taken from a video of Corbyn in the article in Business Insider linked here, which explains: "Labour party leader Jeremy Corbyn accepted up to £20,000 (about \$27,000) for appearances on the Iranian state broadcast network Press TV — a channel that was banned in the UK for its part in filming the detention and torture of an Iranian journalist." We're all aware that Jeremy Corbyn has employed the son of a former BBC Director General and communist *Guardian* newspaper journalist and Stalinist-holocaust denier, Seamas Milne as his propaganda director. Milne began his career working for the Communist Party of Great Britain's *Straight Left*, and in his 16 February 2006 *Guardian* newspaper article, he denied Stalin's holocaust of 20,000,000-40,000,000 people due to 1930s collectivisation (3-6 times as many as Nazi 6,000,000 murders) by claiming that only the murders of political rebels recorded in the Soviet Archives should be remembered: "the real records of repression now available from the Soviet archives are horrific enough (799,455 people were recorded as executed between 1921 and 1953 and the labour camp population reached 2.5 million at its peak) without engaging in an ideologically-fuelled inflation game". This is similar to David Irving's Jewish holocaust denial stunt, relying on incomplete official records and ignoring the hard facts of the holocaust established from the other records of population decrease. The 1972 edition of *The Guinness Book of Records* on page 201 states that the 1936-8 purge (the Yezhovshchina) by the NKVD (later called the KGB) led to evidence in: "population statistics which show a deficiency of males from before the outbreak of the 1941-45 war" and explains that this source of data (which is so callously ignored altogether by Milne) gives 8,000,000-10,000,000 dead as a *probable minimum*, to which must be added the "forced labour" deaths (again omitted from all official records, but estimated from population data) of 19,000,000 murders. So Milne is just a nasty, callous holocaust denier, and on a far bigger scale than David Irving, because the true fascism of the 1939 joint invasion of Poland by the USSR and Nazis (which lasted until June 1941) is being abused for his own personal political prejudices, which Jeremy Corbyn buys into. Later editions of the *Guinness Book of Records* gave more detailed statistical estimate of Stalin's total murders of 40 million. Milne is not refuting the details of these estimates, just ignoring them altogether (holocaust denial). The 1990 book *The great terror: a reassessment* by the great Robert Conquest, who had originally exposed a glimpse of the scale of the Soviet holocaust in 1968, shows that in the early 1930s over 14 million innocent Soviet citizens were murdered and by early 1939, 5% of the entire population of the Soviet Union had been arrested, 8 million innocent people were in concentration camps and prisons, and 90% of those died (2 million had already died in Soviet camps and prisons in 1937-8, and 1 million had been shot). Milne ignores all of this evidence: Jeremy Corbyn thus employs a terrible holocaust denier.

Labour Party leader Jeremy Corbyn, who could become Britain's Prime Minister on 8 June 2017 in the general election, has repeatedly shown solidarity with terrorists like the IRA bomber Patrick Magee, who murdered five people in 1984 with a bomb in Brighton and crippled the wife of Norman Tebbit. *Jeremy Corbyn was actually arrested in 1986 outside the Old Bailey when he protested against the prosecution of terrorist Magee.* Will Corbyn do the same thing as Prime Minister, or not?

1. Jeremy Corbyn claims he is anti-violence yet he has friends as terrorists, e.g. **IRA and other** terrorists.
2. Jeremy Corbyn claims he is anti-violence yet he supports terrorists, e.g. he **supports Hamas**.
3. Jeremy Corbyn claims he is anti-violence yet he is friend of Red Ken, **cheerleader for anti-semites**.
4. Jeremy Corbyn claims he is anti-violence yet he **funded Holocaust deniers**.

5. Jeremy Corbyn claims he is anti-violence yet he [tolerated anti-semitism in the Labour party](#).

6. Jeremy Corbyn claims he is anti-violence yet he has been on the payroll of state-funded [Iranian media](#).

17 May 1987 cutting from the *Sunday Express*'s front page showing how Jeremy Corbyn supported terrorists (*full transcript below; note that the article has been misquoted in fake news by terrorism -friends of Corbyn*):



Express front page and story from May 1987

17 May 1987 *Sunday Express*, page 1:

"MP hails IRA dead

"A Labour MP stood in silence for a minute yesterday to honour the eight IRA gunmen shot dead in an SAS ambush nine days ago.

"Mr Jeremy Corbyn, 38, joined a 200-strong audience at London's Conway Hall in paying tribute to the terrorists shot as they bombed Loughall police station in County Armagh, Ulster.

"Mr Corbyn, MP for Islington North, attacked the government's Ulster policy and said troops should be pulled out of the Province.

"Then Mr Corbyn, MP for Islington North, attacked the Government's Ulster policy and said that troops should be pulled out of the province [*thereby giving a free hand to the IRA terrorists and preventing the Good Friday peace agreement, which in 1999 occurred only after the terrorists had been disarmed*].

"He told a meeting of the Wolfe Tone Society: 'I'm happy to commemorate all those [terrorists] who died fighting for an independent Ireland'."

Daily Express Saturday May 20 2017 **19**

R *THE Dear Leader tells us he's no pacifist. Of course he's not. He supported the IRA, didn't he?*

Corbyn joined a picket outside the Old Bailey in 1986 to oppose what fellow protesters denounced as the "show trial" of a group including Patrick Magee, later found guilty of murdering five people in the Brighton bombing.

In 1993 the man who would be our next PM stood for a minute's silence at a Republican meeting to honour eight IRA gunmen shot dead during an attack on a County Armagh police station.

Beyond parody, isn't it?

20 May 2017 *Daily Express* page 19: Richard Madeley on Jeremy Corbyn terrorism support actions while a backbench MP.

FURTHER READING TO HELP YOU MAKE UP YOUR OWN MIND WHETHER JEREMY CORBYN'S MILITANT TERRORIST-APPEASING MARXIST MINDSET WILL END TERRORISM OR AID IT:

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/jeremy-corbyn-criticised-by-victims-families-after-failing-to-condemn-the-ira-10442683.html>:

News UK UK Politics

Jeremy Corbyn is criticised by victims' families after failing to condemn the IRA

The sister of one IRA victim said Corbyn's refusal amounted to an "insult to all our dead loved ones"

Siobhan Fenton @siobhanfenton Thursday 6 August 2015 11:00 BST 0 comments

<http://www.belfasttelegraph.co.uk/news/politics/labour-leader-frontrunner-jeremy-corbyn-who-wants-to-reunite-ireland-meets-with-sinn-fein-in-westminster-31396059.html>:

"Mr Corbyn first met with Gerry Adams in London in 1984, **well before the IRA campaign ended in 1994** [*IRA terrorism ended in 1994 due to the British Army's disarming actions against terrorists, precisely what Jeremy Corbyn had opposed to loudly during the 1980s, when he believed like Chamberlain in 1938 in dialogue with terrorists prior to disarming them and as an alternative to disarming them, rather than disarming them first and talking afterwards which was the policy that proved to be successful with the IRA in the 1990s!*]"

Briefing National Supplement Page 6

BRIEFING AND THE BRIGHTON BOMB

Last month's editorial statement on the Brighton bomb produced an immediate — and overwhelmingly hostile — response from our readers. Phone calls, letters and articles poured in. And the National Editorial Board meeting disassociated itself from the statement. We print below a further editorial statement, a contribution from Sinn Féin, and the replies we have received.

EDITORIAL

'GIVE IRELAND PEACE AND THERE WILL BE NO WAR'

IRA Statement, 12th October 1984

On the evening before the Brighton bombing Clive Soley, ex-Labour Northern Ireland spokesperson, was speaking at a meeting of Battersea Labour Party. Much of the discussion revolved around the Republican Irish strategy of 'the armistice and the ballot box' and reports that the armistice had been given greater prominence. Soley said that whatever happened 'we must not lose our nerve' and retreat from the political advances that have recently been achieved. In view of the big bang no more than 3 hours later the discussion was ironic — and the more so given the last Labour Briefing editorial.

For it wasn't only that those who we — unfortunately — know will lose their political nerve such as the Labour leadership (if they ever had any), but Briefing itself made a serious political misjudgement.

MADE A SERIOUS POLITICAL MISJUDGEMENT

The National Labour Briefing Editorial Board has subsequently condemned the November editorial and reaffirmed its support for, and solidarity with, the Irish republican movement though we may not always agree with all their tactics or policies. We believe our number one task and overwhelming priority as active members of the British Labour movement is to fight for and secure an immediate and unconditional British withdrawal.

The IRA have stated in an interview in *Republican News* 18/10/84 — 'we are determined never to lay down our arms until the Irish people as a nation are allowed to determine their own future.' Speaking to the *Irish Times* just after the bombing Gerry Adams, Sinn Féin President, said, 'it is the inevitable result of the British occupation of the Six Counties' (*Irish Times* 15/10/84). Diage Abbott, a Labour Councillor and the first Black woman to stand for the NEC, has summed it up in an interview with the *Journal of Labour and Ireland* given in August — '... it's quite simple — anyone who comes from a former colony knows the troops always have to come out. There have been British troops — "peace-keeping forces" — in Cyprus, Malaya, Kenya, all over the place, and they have all had to come out.'

Only an unequivocal British withdrawal including the disarming of the RUC and UDR, will allow for peace in Ireland. Labour Briefing stands for peace, but we are not pacifists. Thatcher stands for violence and claims to be a pacifist. Striking miners and women from the mining communities are being beaten to pieces now for just trying to defend their livelihoods and communities in exactly the same way that Catholics marching for their civil rights were beaten to pieces 15 years ago. Thatcher's hypocrisy of denouncing violence while enacting it is beyond contempt.

In the same *Irish Times* interview Adams also

said, 'the bombing has been successful insofar as it has applied attention once again to what's happening in the six counties.' It certainly appears to be the case that the British only sit up and take notice when they are bombed into it.

However, we believe that the political advances that Soley referred to in Battersea the night before the bombing, have patently not come from any increased Unionist-Labour accord hung around the Forum report. Certainly Soley referred to the fact that Sinn Féin's electoral success by promoting both the SOLP and the Dublin government as the only true protectors and benefactors of the Northern Ireland nationalist community, as coinciding with his attempts to win the hearts and minds of Loyalist carists. Unionist and Loyalist consent to Dublin rule was seen as the only path to Ireland's reunification. We believe the advances have, with out a shred of a doubt, come from Sinn Féin's 'ballot box' strategy and the positive response which that has found with some — too few — sections of the British Labour movement. Ken Livingstone's reciprocal invitation to Gerry Adams to come and speak to Londoners in 1983 has been extended and developed by the ensuing dialogue between British and Irish republican feminists and between trade unionists on either side of the

Irish sea. But the dialogue with Sinn Féin is a mere mirage compared to the road it must become. That depends on us in the Labour Party insisting that Sinn Féin's voice, and that of all Irish Republicans, must be heard here and now. The curious order on Danny Morrison must be lifted and the Dublin based trade-unionists for withdrawal campaign should be flooded with invitations to speak to CLPs and trade union branches.

Tony Benn has published a Bill for British withdrawal from Northern Ireland. In its introduction, Benn argues that we need to agree the principle of withdrawal. Exactly — and to achieve this end we should be promoting discussion, debate and argument throughout the entire labour movement on the Bill itself.

As is becoming increasingly and wonderfully the case women are leading the way. National Labour Women's Conference last May called on the Labour Party to campaign against every aspect of the repression of the Irish nationalist people. The recent London Labour Women's Conference has an ex-Armagh prisoner as a guest speaker and unanimously carried a resolution calling for British withdrawal. The sooner we all follow suit the sooner will there be peace in Ireland.



John Chapman


Above: the 1984 Jeremy Corbyn politics in support of talks with terrorists before disarming the terrorists. The IRA's 1984 slogan, "Give Ireland Peace and there will be no war," is just a copy-cat of Adolf Hitler's infamous 1938 tactics, fully explained by Genevieve Tabouis in her February 1938 Penguin books special, *Blackmail or War*, where she writes on page 8: "Perhaps war does not pay, but blackmail, based on the threat of war, certainly does." This is Jeremy Corbyn's shameful legacy. Everytime politicians go face to face and "negotiate" with terrorists who are violent coercive thugs and are in effect pointing a loaded gun at peace, you simply appease them and encourage more terrorism, more war!

Jeremy Corbyn also showed contempt to the Jewish Chronicle by refusing the answer vital questions concerning racism.

"Just weeks after the Brighton bombing, Corbyn invited Gerry Adams, the president of Sinn Fein, and two convicted IRA terrorists to the House of Commons. ... In a disgraceful speech last Friday he stated that Britain had not fought a single just war since 1945 ... Corbyn said on Friday that he is not a pacifist. He certainly isn't. He is an apologist for terror movements and thuggist dictatorships all over the world, once describing both Hamas and Hezbollah as his "friends". [*Jeremy Corbyn tries to exonerate himself by using gibberish, Chamberlain-style weasel words to try to distance himself from the scorn of the victims of terrorists by denouncing all of the bloody murders done by the "political comrades in revolution", but he is in fact expressing hatred for capitalist integration and a love for the division of multi-cultural civil wars*] ... he nauseatingly described the death of Osama Bin Laden as "a tragedy". ... A member of CND [campaign of anti-nuclear deterrence], he prevaricates feebly ... thereby rendering the whole concept of deterrence useless."

- Leo McKinstry, Daily Express, 15 May 2017, page 12.

Update (24 May 2017):



February 1938 Penguin book by Genevieve Tabouis, *Blackmail or War*.

In February 1938, a female French journalist, Genevieve Tabouis, the diplomatic editor of French newspaper l'Oeuvre, published the third Penguin special

(S3), *Blackmail or War*, 246 page in length. The date page of my second printing shows that it was first printed in February 1938, and reprinted the same month. She warned clearly that the terrorist regime in Germany was using the threat of war to blackmail the appeasement-obsessed democracies into the defeatist policy of surrendering to racist terrorism:

"Italy had already seen during her Ethiopian adventure how prone the democracies were to yielding to blackmail of this kind. A year later, on March 7th, 1935, Germany received confirmation of it when ... she decided upon the re-militarisation of the Rhine ... Japan had set them both an example of these methods in 1932. All these victories were gained without any risk [the terrorists could have changed tactics if opposed, which didn't happen], without any fighting ... Perhaps war does not pay, but blackmail, based on the threat of war, certainly did. ... In France ... Public Opinion takes the point of view that war, which may perhaps be inevitable, would involve the utter ruin of our civilisation."

- Genevieve Tabouis, *Blackmail or War*, Penguin special S3, February 1938, pages 7-8.

Fear of terrorism was used, just as Tabouis warned in February 1938, against Chamberlain later that year when he flew to Germany three times to plead with a bigoted, racist terrorist, shaking his hand and obtaining his autograph on worthless paper "peace-deals". Tabouis also explains how terrorists use the propaganda tactics of internationalism and "uniting people" to start civil wars, just as terrorists use the same tactics now in bombing Britain and other countries to advance their agenda:

"In the name of 'racial science', which is simply imperialism in a new guise, he demanded that 100 million persons of German race and culture who are scattered throughout the world should be united ..."

- Genevieve Tabouis, *Blackmail or War*, Penguin special S3, February 1938, page 33.

This is precisely the tactic being copied today by the so-called "Islamic State" or "ISIS" terrorists when they claim to want to unite Sunni and Shia religions in a jihad against liberty and freedom. Commenting on the origins of Jeremy Corbyn's brand of extremism, Tabouis states on page 48 that support for communism, such as "the Commune of 1871 in France, can be traced to a nationalism which has been provoked beyond endurance." She notes on the same page (page 48) that fascist Mussolini turned from his communist firebrand origins into a nationalist dictator in October 1922, at roughly the same time that Hitler was trying to organise his early failed terrorist revolution.

"In France, the English have often been reproached for having welcomed fascism with the simple and short-sighted remark that every dictatorship in Europe is useful if it contributes to the maintenance of order. Such was undoubtedly Sir Austen Chamberlain's [*British Foreign Minister and the half-brother of the 1938 Nazi-appeasing ideologue Prime Minister, Neville Chamberlain*] idea when he proceeded to Rome in 1925, at a moment when Mussolini was in considerable difficulties. The British Foreign Minister took the view that the fall of Mussolini would involve Italy in a fresh bout of anarchy and internal disturbances which would form a pretext for further unrest in Europe and would, above all, be likely to harm British commercial interests.

"Sir Austen took advantage of a summer cruise in the Mediterranean to meet the Duce [Mussolini] at Leghorn. ... The following year, Sir Austen tried to do Primo de Rivera [of Spain] the same favour by going on a cruise to Barcelona. He imagined that he was acting in the interests of order and peace, whereas both in Italy and Spain, these moves were interpreted as granting a free hand to the joint ambitions of the two Latin dictators."

Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain wasn't so "naive" in the way usually described, flying to Germany to visit Hitler three times in 1938, first shaking Hitler's hand at Berchtesgaden, when a week later going to Godesberg to do the same, and finally meeting Hitler for a third time in Munich at the end of September 1938. His half-brother, Sir Austen Chamberlain, had masterminded the "let's talk to terrorists and dictators"-appeasement strategy more than a decade earlier, leading to a secure dictatorship of fascism in Italy and a Civil War in Spain. This is fact. Chamberlain wanted fascism.

The idea that Britain should have a blathering, fascist supporting Prime Minister who shakes hands with terrorists like Hitler in the name of reducing our defence budget is not a far-fetched fantasy but is a real threat, since it has happened previously.

UPDATE (26 May 2017): Jeremy Corbyn will today exploit the Manchester terrorist attack to make a ranting speech against so-called British

imperialism, which he blames for the suicide attacks of 9/11

DESPERATE: Migrants in tiny boats are still attempting to make the trip to Europe

Picture: GERRY.

Leo McKinstry
Daily Express columnist

we are lectured about the dangers of intolerance, yet what could be more intolerant than the barbaric, misogynist, primitive Islamist culture that has been imported into our lands? We are told to "carry on as normal", even while the authorities allow at least 3,000 known jihadists, such as the Manchester killer Salman Abedi, to live in our neighbourhoods.

Yet the politicians refuse to recognise that the Europe they have built is one where extremism deepens and integration is giving way to segregation. The politicians tell us that the terrorism will not "change our way of life". But governments across Europe are deliberately transforming the very fabric of our civilisation through their dogma about open borders. Due to the colossal influxes of foreigners, we are living through by far the biggest social revolution in Europe's history.

IN BRITAIN, about 600,000 newcomers have been arriving every single year for almost a decade. Christianity, the bedrock of our European heritage, is dying out. Islam is growing rapidly. As Douglas Murray writes in his new book, *The Strange Death Of Europe*: "By the end of the lifespans of most people currently alive, Europe will not be Europe and the peoples of Europe will have lost the only place in the world they had to call home."

Tragically the revolution is about to accelerate if the latest official analyses are to be believed. This week a confidential European intelligence report, which has yet to be verified, was leaked to the German newspaper *Bild*, revealing that 8.6 million migrants are now gathered in countries on the shores of the southern Mediterranean, waiting to make the journey to Europe.

There are said to be at least 2.5 million such migrants in North Africa alone, including more than a million in the failed state of Libya from where the family of Manchester bomber Salman Abedi originated.

These disturbing statistics are all too believable. As the leaked intelligence report points out, since the end of January alone, the number of people waiting to cross into Europe has risen by 600,000 - an increase of 12 per cent. Even this may be a gross underestimate of the problem.

In February an alarming study by Austrian military intelligence, an agency of the Austrian armed forces, predicted that growing unemployment and poverty in Africa mean that over the next three years there could be as many as 15 million migrants seeking to enter Europe.

Any normal, self-confident society would try to defend itself against this tidal wave.

But our politicians are so filled with self-loathing for European Christian civilisation, so contemptuous of traditional national identities, and so desperate to parade their fake compassion that they will soon preside over another migrant crisis, just as they cluded in the decision of Germany's Chancellor Angela Merkel to open the floodgates in 2015.

In the eastern Mediterranean they will probably allow the newly elected, ever more despotic Turkish president Recep Erdogan, a supporter of Islamic fundamentalism, to rip up the recent agreement that restricted the flow of migrants from his country into Europe.

Even more disastrously they will step up their ferry shuttle along the central Mediterranean route from North Africa to Italy. At present the European agencies, aided by a stream of volunteer groups, frequently pick up passengers from migrant boats a few miles off the Libyan coast. But they do not take them back to Africa. Instead, they transport them more than 300 miles to southern Italy. To describe these journeys as "rescue operations" is farcical. What they actually represent is a gigantic maritime taxi service for bogus asylum seekers.

Like the institutionalised feebleness in the face of phadism, the Mediterranean ferry service is another symbol of Europe's suicidal self-abasement. The estimated number of migrant sailings from North Africa grew by a third in the first few months of this year compared to 2016.

THIS huge influx is not only unsustainable for European economies but is also a recipe for more dislocation and conflict. One chilling document captured from Islamic State in February 2015 referred to the "immense potential" of the Libyan gateway. "It has a long coast and looks upon the southern Crusader states which can be reached with ease by a rudimentary boat."

Unless resolute action is taken to secure its borders and uphold its culture, Europe in its present form will soon be lost. The late Libyan dictator Colonel Gaddafi once said that "there are signs that Allah will grant Islam victory in Europe", leading to "a Muslim continent in a few decades".

Cloaked in sentimentality, the pathetic appeasement by our rulers makes his words look prophetic.

'Disturbing statistics are all too believable'

Taking offense at anti British terrorism and anti British racism: Leo McKinstry in the Daily Express, 25 May 2017, page 12.

Jeremy Corbyn will today make a ranting speech attacking Britain for combatting the 9/11 terrorist network, blaming British "imperialist" military anti-terrorist actions, and effectively siding with the terrorist network which hates liberty and freedom, while trying to censor any disclosure of his own involvement in backing murders by terrorists!

The police will not arrest Jeremy Corbyn again, as they did in 1986 when he tried to prevent the prosecution of the terrorist Patrick Magee at the Old Bailey court in London. They will permit him to incite hatred and violence because he is politically powerful and has the backing of the Guardian and BBC.

Update (26 May 2017): Jeremy Corbyn's hate speech blaming terrorism on British opponents of terrorism

"I have spent my political life working for peace and human rights and to bring an end to conflict and devastating wars. [No, mate, you opposed the disarming of the IRA which led to peace in Northern Ireland, and you sided with the murderers, getting arrested outside the Old Bailey in 1986 when trying to stop the just prosecution of the inhumane bomber Patrick Magee!] That will almost always mean talking to people you profoundly disagree with. [No, mate, that was what Sir Austen Chamberlain did with Mussolini in 1925, what Neville Chamberlain did with Hitler three times in 1938, and what you did with the IRA in 1984 leading them to intensify their murderous bombing spree until the brave SAS disarmed them!] That's what conflict resolution is all about. [No, mate, the peace talks come after you win the war to stop the thugs, not the other way around.] ... Democracy will prevail. We must defend our democratic process, win our arguments by discussion and debate, and stand united against those who would seek to take our rights away, or who would divide us. [No, you liar, you are not a democrat and you don't win the arguments by discussion because you REFUSED TO EVEN TAKE A SINGLE

QUESTION after you gave this very speech! Duh! Your speech was as democratic as a Nuremberg Rally speech by Hitler, or as democratic as a pontificating rant by Fidel Castro or Joseph Stalin!]"

- Jeremy Corbyn, hate speech favouring negotiations with terrorists, 26 May 2017.

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/jeremy-corbyn-manchester-attack-terrorism-uk-foreign-policy-wars-speech-a7756266.html>:

News UK UK Politics

Jeremy Corbyn blames terrorist attacks such as Manchester bombing on UK foreign policy

"Intelligence experts [Corbyn's CND liars presumably, and their fascist European Union friends] have linked 'wars our government has supported or fought in other countries and terrorism here at home', Labour leader claims"

Rob Merrick Deputy Political Editor

"**Jeremy Corbyn** has taken the hugely controversial step of blaming Britain's foreign wars for terror attacks such as the Manchester suicide bombing....

" "It is also a dereliction of duty to allow jihadis to return to this country, including it seems, Monday night's terrorist," said Ukip leader Paul Nuttall."

Update (27 May 2017):



Extract from the article, "Now Corbyn uses human suffering for political ends" by Chris Roycroft Davis, *Daily Express* 27 May 2017, page 12. Jeremy Corbyn has consistently voted in the House of Commons **against** measures to halt terrorists, including the Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act of 2001 and the 2006 Terrorism Act, and in 2011 he voted against the Terrorism Prevention and Investigation Measures Act, and 18 months ago he abstained from voting for the Investigative Powers Bill, but he now blames everybody but himself for the results of his terrorism support in Manchester.

One thing he has consistently supported is communism, addressing the 40th Annual Congress of the Communist Party of Great Britain in 1989 to attack the deterrence of terrorism as being an "obscurity" and pledging "unwavering commitment to unilateral disarmament" while standing in front of a hammer and sickle USSR flag, as reported and photographed in the communist paper, Morning Star. The 40th Annual Congress was hosted in his constituency of North Islington, a hotbed for anti-British racists and hate inciters. Corbyn supported race war, cultural war, class war and religious war as part of the USSR's "divide and rule" assault on Britain in the Cold War, and he maintains these principles.



Corbyn addressing the 40th Annual Congress of the Communist Party of Great Britain in 1989. The Communist Party has taken the unprecedented step of not fielding any Communist Party candidates against Corbyn in the 8 June 2017 general election, telling its communists to vote for Corbyn's new Marxist based Labour Party instead. All through the Cold War, communists were murdering innocent people by inciting terrorist thugs against democracy in Korea, Vietnam, Cuba, etc.



21 May 2017 *Daily Express*, page 15: Jeremy Corbyn's Former Communist Party aide's [Andrew Murray] children are trying to use a tax dodge to avoid paying society £500,000 in inheritance tax (giving the lie to Communist's claims to want to use taxation to equalise wealth): "The children of Jeremy Corbyn's ex-Communist Party aide had their mother's £1.7 million house transferred into their names [avoiding a £500,000 tax bill, if she dies more than 7 years after the transfer] ... [Andrew] Murray has spoken up in support of North Korea, Stalin and the Soviet Union."

EVENING STANDARD, 20 December 1983

CND 'HOLDING

by Bob Graham

POLICE angrily criticised spontaneous CND demonstrators in London today for "holding hands with the IRA bombers."

Two anti-Cruise demos were held—at Trafalgar Square and in the Mile End Road in East London—to protest against the re-deployment of nuclear missiles from Greenham Common.

Culpable

But more than 200 police officers who had been called into central London to boost the watch for terrorist bombers were immediately reassigned to handle the surprise demonstrations.

A police inspector at Trafalgar Square said: "These people are not helping their cause at a time like this."

HANDS WITH IRA'

THE SUN, Friday, June 18, 1983

CND: IS IT ALL A RUSSIAN CON TRICK?

By MARJORY OAVISON

THE 19 Very Important Visitors were welcomed to Moscow in the style of Heads of State.

Police escorted their motorcade as it swept through red lights on the way from Sheremetyevo Airport to a downtown hotel.

Visits to the Bolshoi Ballet, the old Czarist capital, Leningrad and the famed cities of Tashkent and Samarkand.

Moscow's making fools of our ban the bomb brigade



DAILY STAR, 1983



1986 CND "moie" (infiltrator) Paul Mercer exposed USSR propaganda

Paul Mercer



THE SUN 1983



"I don't condemn the IRA bombings in public—I explain that they are a direct response to British policy—in some situations it's not useful to preach pacifism."—CND Council Member, Pat Arrowsmith
Socialist Challenge, 4 June 1982

"I personally need no lessons on how to combat 'anti-Sovietism' in the peace movement from armchair peace campaigners. The consistent stand of CND for unilateral nuclear disarmament and withdrawal from NATO has been won by working as Communists in a principled non-sectarian way."—CND Vice-President, John Cox
Morning Star, 8 January 1985



HOW MOTHERS LIKE ME ARE DRIVEN TO JOIN THE BIG PEACE DEMOS



POLITBURO MEMBER PENQUARNEY



World Peace Council President Romesh Chandra, Lenin Peace Prize winner:



Paul Mercer's brilliant 1986 book, "Peace of the Dead", exposed how the Marxists infiltrated "peace lobby" CND (which wanted to disarm Britain to allow the Russians to invade without a deterrent) with IRA supporters, Brezhnev era terrorists, and bombers. Sean MacBride was on the Irish CND Committee, and on the International Peace Bureau (which counted on CND as a member body), and so on up to Boris Ponomarev, Head of the International Department for the Kremlin's Politburo, which ran the World Peace Council (a front for war). What we see today from Jeremy Corbyn is just a repeat of the endless propaganda for Marxist revolution, dressed up in Orwellian Doublethink as "peace". In the 1980s, most people had some resilience to this propaganda due to reading Orwell's 1984, or Constantine Fitzgibbon's *When the Kissing had to stop*. To counter this, CND and fellow travelling "biased scientists" like Carl Sagan abused the data on thermal shadowing in cities by buildings (assuming falsely that cities are like unobstructed deserts) to exaggerate fires and "predict" firestorms that release enough soot to cause a "nuclear winter". This lie was debunked in 1951 by the UK Home Office Scientific Advisory Branch's George R. Stanbury in secret studies of thermal shadowing by modern city skylines in London, Birmingham, Liverpool, etc.

We have repeatedly exposed "nuclear winter" debunking evidence on this blog, but it is still being ignored by CND fear mongering terrorism supporters. The truth is, nuclear deterrence using tactical nuclear weapons helped avoid aggression in the Cold War, but it was ended in 1991 due to propaganda from the Corbyn-Chamberlain breed of "peace monger", and has led to more terrorism. Invasions of massed terrorist groups in trucks or in tanks can be stopped or deterred, or at least forced to disperse into a less concentrated force, by this method. By the nuclear deterrent effect of forcing enemy tanks and vehicles to disperse, their ability to invade is diminished, and conventional weapons are then more effective in combating the dispersed invasion force. For example, if invading enemy tanks are dispersed to reduce their vulnerability to a tactical nuclear explosion, then it is easier to pick them off one by one using hand-held anti-tank rockets. You can't do that so effectively against the barrage of fire from a large, concentrated, invading tank force. Kuwait was invaded by Iraq, sparking off the first Gulf War, because Reagan's W79 tactical nuclear deterrence against concentrated invasion forces had not been deployed against Middle East dictatorships, after the Cold War ended.

Update, 31 May 2017





DAILY EXPRESS

THE NORTHERN & SHELL BUILDING

NUMBER 10 LOWER THAMES STREET, LONDON EC3R 6EN

Tel: 020 8612 7000 (outside UK: +44 20 8612 7000)

Anti-British Abbott is unfit to hold high office

EVERY time Diane Abbott opens her mouth the Conservative Party must thank its lucky stars that this preposterous woman is shadow home secretary. Who in their right mind could possibly believe that she is fit for public office?

And has she any idea quite how offensive she has just been? Asked about her support for the IRA in the 1980s, Abbott (without condemning the organisation) compared her changing views with changing her hair.

It would be laughable were it not so appalling. Just how does Diane think this will go down with the families of the victims of the IRA?

There is not one single member of the shadow government who is fit for high office but Abbott, remember, is shadow home secretary.

If, Heaven forbid, she was ever to occupy the real role, she would be in charge of the police, of security on our streets, of attempting to ensure that in the wake of the Manchester horror, another such attack would be avoided.

about economic change lies the whiff of revolution. Both Corbyn and his shadow chancellor John McDonnell are veteran Marxist ideologues who yearn for the overthrow of capitalism. Indeed, at a May Day rally in Trafalgar Square this year, McDonnell stood beneath an array of Communist and Stalinist banners to deliver another of his rants.

Margaret Thatcher once said that "the problem with socialism is that you soon run out of other people's money". A Corbyn government will be a perfect illustration of that truth.

But none of it would bring in nearly as much revenue as Labour pretends, since the incentives for wealth creation will be lost. In a globalised, financially mobile world, companies and individuals will move elsewhere, reduce their output or adopt tax avoidance.

A deep recession would be just one aspect of Labour's economic disaster. Corbyn is pledging his paymasters in the trade unions far more power, which would mean a disastrous return to 1970s-style militancy.

Hugo Chavez seized power in a revolution in 1999, proceeding to adopt a Corbynite programme of nationalisation and wealth redistribution.

Back in Britain, Corbyn was delighted. "Success for radical policies in Venezuela is being

Instead, we have in Abbott (and her party leader) an apologist for terrorists, a woman who is on record as saying "every defeat of the British state is a victory for all of us".

It is to the eternal shame of the Labour Party that she is speaking for them on matters of national importance. Diane Abbott cannot step down from the national stage soon enough.

achieved by providing for the poorest," he wrote. The reality was that Venezuela was being transformed from one of the richest countries in South America into an economic basket case. Chavez died in 2013 but the economy shrank by 19 per cent last year alone.

The nation's currency is almost worthless. Inflation is running at 1660 per cent. Shops are empty. Riots are endemic.

29 May 2017 Daily Express page 12: newspaper editorial about Diane Abbott's claim that "every defeat of the British state is a victory for us all." She also deliberately incited hate and tried to start a race war by saying in the 15 November 1985 issue of *Socialist Challenge*: "all white people are racist".

The same page contains Leo McKinstry's article on the problem of Corbyn's Marxist shadow chancellor John McDonnell who rants in front of communist banners: in the 1970s Britain's Marxist unions controlled Labour prime minister James Callaghan, forcing endless strikes, particularly after Britain's IMF bailout when nationalised industries simply went on strike for higher pay (believing that the money should be spent on them, not used as Callaghan wanted to pay interest on Britain's debt mountain).

I remember having to repeatedly walk home from school as a young child in the dark, to a cold house - the electric heaters were off for the same reason as the street lamps - and try to do homework by candle light (when the shops hadn't sold out of candles), because of the coal strikes which cut the power generators. There was one factory with lights on the town, and they had their own small generator. That was the "joy" of Britain's flirtation with 1970s Marxism. In the 1980s, Thatcher turned to nuclear power to keep the lights on, and to prevent the coal miners holding the heavily debt ridden country to ransom. The danger is like this: nationalising everything the way Corbyn wants costs money (the government sells bonds to investors to get the cash - but the government has to promise to buy the bonds back paying extra i.e. interest, to get people to buy them).

We already have a colossal £1.7 trillion national debt, more per head than even bankrupt states like Greece. *The only reason we aren't bankrupt like Greece, despite our greater debt, is that we attract lots of job-creating businesses in the competitive world by offering relatively attractive corporation tax rates, etc.* Corbyn wants to raise corporation tax to get money, but we have already tried that in the 1970s and it failed: companies went away taking their money, their jobs, etc. People moved away to tax havens. Like the USSR or Greece, you end up with a reduced productive private sector, and a massively bloated state sector which is funded essentially by tax from the reduced private sector. The state sector becomes filled with union controlled workers whose main role ends up as militancy and strikes. A recipe for bankruptcy. When the debt reaches the point where investors become scared of your country's impending bankruptcy, they demand more interest or they invest elsewhere. So you pay higher interest rates on the debt mountain, which means that your main expenditure becomes debt interest. Eventually, you begin borrowing just to pay interest on existing debt. Then, even the dumbest investor runs. At that point, the country is insolvent, inflation kicks in, and the country is ruined for decades, as it was by 1979. In a good case, the country then comes to its senses and backs a return to private industry, but that leads to riots, hatred and division state jobs are lost to save money.

McKinstry ignores the 1970s episode in Britain, and gives a more recent example where Corbyn backed the 1999 Marxist revolution in Venezuela by Hugo Chavez which nationalised and taxed the country into bankruptcy: "Inflation is running at 1660 percent. Shops are empty. Riots are endemic." Corbyn welcomed this destruction of Venezuela with the Marxist blather: "Success for radical policies in Venezuela is being achieved by providing for the poorest." The problem is that democracy got Hitler elected in 1933 on false promises: Hitler's massive "national socialist" state spending for full employment was done on the back of a debt bubble that mortgaged Germany's future, and the borrowed money had ran out by 1939. War ensued. Jeremy Corbyn has

recently been trying to promote a friendly image of himself by claiming he campaigned for the release of South African terrorist movement supporters, e.g. Nelson Mandela. However, at the time Corbyn was supporting all the terrorist groups like the IRA and ANC, they were killing people. It wasn't terrorism or violence that solved the problems, it was reconciliation, and that didn't result from appeasement, but from de-radicalising terrorism supporters and turning them into peaceful, reasonable people. That's what Reagan did with Gorbachev, negotiating from a position of strength, not from a position of weakness.

"... it is surprising that many of our politicians ... eulogised about a mass murderer [Martin McGuinness's IRA murdered 1,778 British nationals and 162 Irish "informers"] ... Cheerleader-in-Chief - naturally - was the BBC. ... In reality, it was the death of a man who authorised murder on a staggering scale and condoned executions, torture, knee-cappings, beatings and intimidation of supposed informers ... McGuinness [by age 22] was second in command. That coincided with the Bloody Sunday protest, which was the subject of the £200 million inquiry that concluded, amongst other findings, that McGuinness had definitely 'engaged in paramilitary activity' on the day. ... As one of the group's seven man Army Council, McGuinness would have authorised horrific terror attacks ... Yet for so many last week, he was a hero ... For Tony Blair he was 'a thoughtful, reflective and committed individual.' Blair's right-hand man Alistair Campbell called him 'a very, very warm human being and very likeable', and 'a great guy'. Even former US president Bill Clinton waxed lyrical. 'Martin was calm, courageous and direct,' he said. ...

"The unpalatable truth is this: when this killer decided to be a peacemaker, the game was up for his beloved IRA. The British Army, MI5 and the Royal Ulster Constabulary had defeated the thuggish terrorists [using raids, detentions, disarmament of the terrorists by force, anti-terrorist ambushes to deter raids, and the anti-blast "peace walls" to provide security to communities and make serious terrorist attacks harder to accomplish; all vital tactics to use against so-called "Islamic State", unlike making friends with terrorists as Jeremy Corbyn wants to do, and falsely claims was what was done in Northern Ireland]. All that was left for him was to flee to safety and secure a deal that would guarantee him immunity from any prosecutions. That so many were taken in [by BBC pro terrorist propaganda "fake news"] with his new stance simply shows their naivety. The BBC breathlessly informed us that, when he died, he was surrounded by his loved ones. How many of his victims could say the same?"

- Nick Ferrari, Sunday Express, 26 March 2017, page 31.

Update (3 June 2017):

The last Revolutionary Communist infiltration of Britain's Labour Party

"Even for the 1980s, when Labour was in the wilderness, his [Jeremy Corbyn's] views were extreme, as reflected in his demand for 'the overthrow of capitalism' or his support for violent Irish Republicanism. ... Corbyn is often praised for strong, unchanging convictions, but there is nothing laudable in this [honest people admit when they are wrong]. Josef Stalin, Pol Pot and Chairman Mao all had strong convictions ... Corbyn's far-left radicalism is the antithesis of liberal democracy ... like an old Soviet relic from the Cold War era. This is a man who wants us to abandon our nuclear deterrent and hand the Falkland Islands to Argentina. ... His approach is a recipe for a return to the dark, chaotic days of the 1970s when the country was wrecked by bullying unions and expensive state socialism ... Corbyn's defenders call him 'a breath of fresh air.' In truth, he represents the fetid, dying gasp of rotten Labour."

- Leo McKinstry, Daily Express, 14 September 2015, page 12.

WOODROW WYATT'S 1977 "WHAT'S LEFT OF THE LABOUR PARTY?" (MARXISM!)

Woodrow Wyatt's 1977 book, *What's Left of the Labour Party?* (Marxism!), reviews the infiltration tactics used by Communists to get into the Labour Party and in Trade Unions to turn them into Marxist puppets of the Soviet Union, causing Britain's descent into economic bankruptcy (requiring an IMF bailout) in the 1970's. Wyatt was a Labour MP who served as Under Secretary for War in Attlee's second government. Attlee took extreme measures to proscribe

communists from taking over the Labour Party, but subsequent leaders, propped up with Marxist trade union cash, succumbed. In 1956, Wyatt warned about Communists falsifying ballots to gain control of the Electricians' Union, which funded the Labour Party. The book's jacket blurb explains the Orwellian doublethink involved clearly:

"The capturing of important official posts in the Labour Party and the trade unions by tiny, unrepresentative and malevolent minorities is called expressing the people's will. The prevention of secret postal ballots in union affairs is called protecting union members from undemocratic outside interference. Block votes wielded at Labour Party Conferences by a handful of trade union leaders who have not consulted their members, or ascertained their wishes [Corbyn wants to repeal laws forcing democracy upon trade union strike decisions, etc.], are described as the epitome of representative democracy. The selection of ultra-Left Wing Labour candidates by tiny local caucuses who have views alien to 90% of Labour voters is called acting in the people's name."

This book was published two years before the 1978-9 winter of discontent when the strikers refused to bury the dead, collect rubbish, provide coal for electric power stations, and disrupted life for millions of people. Wyatt explains on page 137 what the basic problem is: Russians were in 1977 allowed ownership of 1/3 acre, amounting to 1% of the total arable acre, the other 99% being collective state farms. The 1% of the farmland owned privately generated 33% of all Russian foodstuffs. In other words, private farming in Russia was over 30 times more efficient than collective state farms: communism was a disaster compared to private enterprise! On page 164, Wyatt recommended that the communist ridden Labour Party be sent into the wilderness for a long time to cleanse itself of toxic ideologues (something which occurred on 4 May 1979 and lasted until 1997):

"The Labour Party must have the shock of going into the wilderness for a long time - at least for two Parliaments. The Social Democrats in it would then apprehend that the tactic of appeasing the Marxists and the extreme Left Wing in order to present itself an apparently united Party to win elections has exhausted itself. ... Social Democrats do not believe that the power of the State is more powerful than the liberty of the individual. The Marxists, Trotskyists, and other extremes in the Labour Party, on the contrary, believe that the people are not competent to choose what is best for them, but must have a Socialist system imposed upon them. Hence, they are little different from outright members of the Communist Party."

Wyatt starts his book by a review of what really happened when Karl Marx, writing his evil doctrine of communism in British Library of London, turned anarchist gibberish into a religion. In 1870, five years before Marx's infamous rant, 47 anarchists issued a declaration after being arrested for an attempted uprising at Lyon: "From each according to his faculties, to each according to his needs." All that Karl Marx did in 1875 was to change the anarchist's word "facilities" to "abilities". The roots of Britain's Marxism had been laid by Chamberlain's government when it nationalised coal royalties in 1938, the idea being to safeguard the price of coal (a basic necessity) from profiteering. (Attlee later nationalised the actual coal mines.)

Conservatives also first nationalised electricity distribution in 1926 by creating the Central Electricity Board which owned the National Grid of power cables. During World War II, Churchill created Britain's "Social State" including social workers and state funded health care, which had to be set up to care for millions of children, disabled people and mothers evacuated from bombing target areas before the Blitz, and the injured and homeless in air raids. This wartime civil defence network of state hospitals and social services provided a testing ground and nucleus for Attlee's National Health Service or Welfare State of 1948, initially done on an economic, sound budget. While civil defence hating Marxists like Corbyn choose to ignore the wartime British social state, and claim that Attlee was elected in 1945 to create what was already begun (Churchill's 1945 manifesto also provided for a welfare state), the truth is that Attlee just took the credit for extending the WWII welfare system, and he was only elected because by 1945 people wanted a change after 14 years of Conservative rule.

The problems of nationalisation soon became clear: the government, as state employer for millions of health workers and other nationalised industry workers, is constantly fighting union blackmail by strikes for higher wages. Taxation to pay those wages then chokes the private industry which is paying the tax, pushing up its costs, making its exports too expensive to be competitive in the world.

While some of Attlee's nationalisation program made sense and has never been reversed (Attlee nationalised the Bank of England), much of it has later been re-privatised (sold off) in part or whole by Conservative governments (for example, water companies, telecommunications, and the railways). Corbyn

wants to re-nationalise, at tremendous expense. While the wholesale nationalisation in 1960-90's Britain was defended as preventing exploitative private cartels of greedy big businesses, "state control" (aka Trade Union Marxist strike-blackmail control) had its own set of problems. Prior to the privatisation of Britain's only and state-owned telecommunications company, "Post Office Telecommunications" (which was later renamed British Telecom, or BT), my commerce teacher used to debunk the notion of private telephone companies by explaining that the idea would lead to roads being repeatedly dug up by the different companies, each to lay their own cables, while the unnecessary duplication of head offices (for all the private companies) would be inefficient compared to state control. However, sometimes the advantages of competition and consumer choice outweigh those disadvantages. The Labour Party was committed to nationalisation through the infamous communist type "Clause 4" of its constitution, which demanded "common ownership of the means of production, distribution, and exchange".

This communist type "Clause 4" was fought bravely by the great Labour Leader Hugh Gaitskell at the 1959 Blackpool conference of the Labour Party, but he was outvoted, Labour lost the next election, and "Clause 4" for communist-type nationalising remained until 1995. Its final death in 1995 led to the landslide Labour victory in 1997, led by CND member Tony Blair, who started terrible wars instead of deterring them or defending people with a peaceful, disaster mitigating Civil Defence Corps (which Attlee had set up in 1948 but Marxist Harold Wilson had abolished in 1968).

By 1974, the Labour Party Manifesto was driven almost entirely by its Marxist Union paymasters and had an agenda virtually copied straight from Karl Marx:

"We shall introduce an annual wealth tax on the rich; bring in a new tax on major transfers of personal wealth ... To achieve far greater economic equality ... To increase social equality ... To bring about a fundamental and irreversible shift in the balance of power and wealth in favour of working people and their families."

Wyatt comments on page 103 of his 1977 book that this led to a Catch-22 that made Marxist influence dominate in the Labour Party and bankrupt the country: *"All Labour Governments have begun by overspending and have been forced to draw back. Each time they have drawn back, the protests of their Left Wing have become more influential, and the cutbacks less effective. Each Labour Government leaves us with a total public spending higher than when it took office and which weighs down productive industry still further. By uneven jerks, the economy grows weaker."*

The problem is that, just as the fact that 70% of immigrants today vote Labour, drives Labour to welcome excessive immigration (which drives up hospital waiting lists, house prices, rent costs, pollution, overcrowding, social deprivation, etc.) for the extra votes, the majority of state employed voters also vote Labour, which encourages Labour Governments to expand the state payroll for political vote gain, as Wyatt explains on page 101:

"The larger the government payroll can be made, the greater the voting strength of Labour. Labour is the champion of public expenditure, however wasteful. ... In June 1976 there were some 2,900,000 local government employees ... an increase of ... 1,200,000 over the figure for June 1964 ... There are some 850,000 workers in the National Health Service ... Their numbers have multiplied exceedingly without any improvement in the quality ... Add the totals employed in the Civil Service, local government and National Service ... 4,500,000. When wives and adult dependants are included, the voting figure at general elections is gigantic. By the nature of their work, none of these employees can be connected to a profit motive, or have any direct interest in whether industry does well or badly."

Wyatt points out on page 102, that reducing the number of state employees to the 1964 level would save £6 billion a year and be a terrific boost to bankrupt 1977 Britain, but it would be political suicide for the Labour Party to sack so many Labour voters, and Marxists loved making Britain bankrupt as it provided an added excuse to flog a dead horse (or kill a golden goose, if you prefer): "An added attraction to the extremist is that this wasteful expenditure entails an unnaturally high rate of taxation. It enables him to say that, in order for justice to be done, those with incomes beyond £10,000 a year or with assets beyond £50,000 should be annihilated by taxation. ... The less money the individual is allowed to keep, the less democratic an expression can he give to his wishes, and the more he becomes a slave to the State; and eventually he accepts his fate because he can see no way of escaping it." Putting that another way, it was Britain's enormous Marxist orientated state sector expansion by Harold Wilson after 1964 which caused the financial crisis of the

1970's. Thatcher had to use draconian tactics after 1979 to stabilise and begin rebuilding Britain's economy, cutting down state sector spending and thereby reducing private sector taxation back to levels that made private business profitable again.

A Gallup Poll in January 1977 showed that 54% of British people stated that the most powerful man in England was not the relatively harmless puppet Prime Minister James Callaghan, but the man who pulled his strings, the infamous Marxist Jack Jones, the General Secretary of the Transport and General Workers Union (which in 1977 had two million members, and a large Labour Party block vote). Wyatt explains on page 104: "According to the BBC's overseas monitoring service, Jack Jones himself said on East German radio on 26 June 1976 that he felt at home in East Germany. There is no reason to doubt him." In the same way, Jeremy Corbyn, while a vile hater of liberty in his own right, is also just a puppet on a string controlled by the big union paymasters, which effectively influence Labour. So with a Marxist Labour government, the control of the country by the Prime Minister becomes just a front for the freedom hating extremists (militant trade unionists).

Wyatt puts this clearly in the title of chapter 9 of his 1977 book: "The Marxist Minority in the Labour Party controls the Minority Labour Government", where he explains on page 104 that less than 30% of the electorate actually voted for Labour when it got 39% of votes cast and won the election in October 1974. Wyatt goes on to explain on pages 111-112 that, in accordance with General Secretary Jack Jones' love of communist East Germany, *Labour's Programme for Britain 1976*: "sets out the plan for creating an Eastern European style society in Britain. At the 1976 Labour Party Conference in Blackpool, care was taken to see that this plan was endorsed by a card vote ... This makes the whole document of 147 pages the confirmed and actual policy of the Labour Party. ... As an addition to this document, which was first published on 28 May 1976, Labour's National Executive ... announced the impending nationalisation of the four big banks - National Westminster, Lloyds, Barclays and Midland."

Britain was only spared turning into another East Germany by this Marxist crap because the money promptly ran out! In 1976, Callaghan had to beg the IMF (International Monetary Fund) for a bailout of \$4 billion. The IMF insisted then (like Germany does now, when bailing out Greek debts) that Callaghan made severe cuts to Britain's wasteful expenditure, and this condition on the IMF loan killed off Jack Jones' dreams for forcing USSR communist system upon Britain: we didn't have the money to nationalise everything. As Thatcher put it, *socialism had run out of other people's money!*

"Nor should it be assumed that having achieved *Labour's Programme for Britain 1976* the Marxists would be satisfied. They would go on and on until Clause 4 had been turned into a reality by State takeover ... Just as in Eastern European countries the government would make the plans for industry and order industries to carry them out, however unsuitable they may be for the economy. Strangled by regulations and inappropriate instructions, what was left of free enterprise would be bankrupted and thus could be taken over by the Marxist-dominated Labour Party at little cost, until the State owned everything, however profitless and inefficient it had become."

- Woodrow Wyatt, *What's Left of the Labour Party?*, Sidgwick and Jackson, London, 1977, pages 113-114.

Wyatt's analysis then becomes as bleak as Orwell's *1984*. Wyatt explains on pages 122-3 how the 1976 Marxist Labour Party planned to nationalise, and bring into government control, the press (TV was already fully infiltrated by Marxists):

"The Marxists know very well that it is impossible to run a Marxist state if a free press continues to operate within it. The repression which is inseparable from a Marxist State requires that the citizen should not know the facts about what is going on in his own country or abroad. It requires that the citizen should have no place, other than in controlled Party circles, to voice any criticism of the way the country is being run.

"Isolation from fact and opinion is essential in curbing discontent and refusal to cooperate with the authorities. It is not by chance that as the Marxist influence has grown in the Labour Party, so has concern with ways, which it is hoped can be made to look respectable [e.g. clamping down on misinformation, fear mongering, enemy propaganda, or faked news], to end the freedom of the press. ...

"Hitler obligingly set out his plans in *Mein Kampf* but the world did not read this instructive work for fear of being bored. The Marxist extremists

controlling the Labour Party have with equal frankness set out their plans. It is not witch hunting to point out the similarity of their ideas to the systems operating in Eastern Europe. It is merely recording the facts from their own published proposals. ... the Labour Government would have collapsed during 1975, 1976 and 1977 if the International Monetary Fund had not helped."

Wyatt on pages 125-6 shows why Britain was going bankrupt by examining the number of administrators in the NHS, National Health Service. In 1966 there was one administrator for 9.5 hospital beds, while ten years later in 1976 there was one administrator for every 4.8 beds. This example led to cycle of expansion and sacking of the number of administrators in hospitals: nurses and doctors would ask for administrators to free them from paperwork and allow them to spend more of their time on patients. Then a vast amount of the NHS budget would be spent on administrators, leading to cut backs on nurses and doctors. The next government would then pledge to cut the administrators, and the cycle of hiring and firing would begin all over again! (My mother, an immigrant state registered staff nurse in NHS hospitals from the start of her career in 1951 until retirement, saw endless cycles of hiring and firing of NHS administrators for political agendas. It cost a great deal of money to fire administrators due to redundancy pay-offs, and system changes. The original Matron system left the administration responsibility in the hands of a senior nurse. Efforts to cheapen the administration cost led to some tragic, irresponsible errors in paperwork, with examples of patients being given the wrong medication, or even having the wrong leg amputated.)

"It would seem unthinkable that the Marxists and Trotskyists and ultra-Left Wing fanatics should be able to foist their ideas on the British people. But in the same speech in which Callaghan welcomed *Labour's Programme for Britain 1976* he said: 'I also draw the Party's attention to a new factor creeping into the Party, which I warn against - namely those elements which misuse the word 'Socialist' and who seek to infiltrate our Party and use it to their own ends. They are almost all recognisable by their jargon and their intolerance'."

- Woodrow Wyatt, *What's Left of the Labour Party?*, page 128.

Wyatt explained on pages 151-153 that genuine, carefully rational Marxist-fascist witch-hunting is encouraged as a fun and moral sport: "Between 1956 and 1972 the nationalised industries, despite their monopolistic position, lost £1,168,000,000. Between 1962 and 1972 the nationalised industries received over £6,500,000,000 in subsidies, with which they were still unable, overall, to make a profit. ... The idea that the nationalised industries can ever be as efficient as the private sector is a joke. While the nationalised industries paid only £81 million in taxes between 1962 and 1972, the private sector companies paid £13,729,000,000. Yet investment in the nationalised industries between 1948 and 1972 was about 1/5 of the entire total of national investment in fixed assets in the United Kingdom. ... Pouring money into the nationalised industries and getting low productivity, and inferior results, has helped to hold back rises in the standard of living which would have taken place but for nationalisation."

Wyatt also explains on page 43 the history of communist party thugs, as wolves in sheep's clothing, infiltrating Labour due to the electorate's hatred of communist (owing to the communist aggressive inhumanity of the Cold War in Eastern Europe, Korea, etc.):

"In 1950, all the Communist Party's 100 candidates were defeated, including two Communist MPs who had sat in the 1945 Parliament. This heightened the determination of the Communists to control the Labour Party by indirect means, since they would not establish themselves in Parliament under their own name. Already the Communists had been for some years the masters of the Electricians' Union, by rigging the ballots. This gave them a minor say at Labour Party conferences. They now concentrated on capturing the important Engineers' Union either through open Communists or through those who for tactical or other reasons did not disclose themselves as Communist sympathisers [likewise, communists simply took out cheap Labour Party memberships to elect Jeremy Corbyn to party leadership, and they apply for admission as TV audience members on political TV debates, claiming to be undecided, Labour or even Conservative, in order to be accepted in large numbers to falsely "represent" another party, so they can clap for Marxist causes and shout down or heckle reason]. Some of the extremists in the Parliamentary Labour Party since 1945 were actually undercover Communists, others were very close to the Communist viewpoint [this includes the whole Labour shadow Cabinet today in 2017]. ... The importance of deception to the Communists can be seen by their fury when a low-profile Communist is publicly identified as such."

Wyatt's chapter 5 is titled, "[Nye] Bevan and [Harold] Wilson find useful the Support of Marxists and Extremists", and states at pages 51-3:

"One side, led by Nye Bevan and Harold Wilson, was relying on the support of Marxists and extremists ... Accordingly, as pressures grow, the leaders of the Labour Party feel obliged to put more and more nonsense into their Party programme, in order to placate their internal enemies [an example of Irving Janis's "groupthink"]. ... After the 1952 Labour Conference, Hugh Gaitskell made a speech at Stalybridge. In it, he openly alleged that there had been distinct Communist infiltration among the delegates to the 1952 Labour Party Conference at Morecambe. He went on to say, 'It is time to end the attempt at mob rule by a group of frustrated journalists, and restore the authority and leadership of the solid, sound, sensible majority of the movement.'"

On page 59, Wyatt tells the sorry story of how the communists abused him for exposing communist infiltration of the Electricians' Union, in his television broadcasts about the problem:

"The Communists were still in command and retaining their hold by cooking the union elections. It was thought very disloyal of me to restore democracy to the Electricians's Union. I was expelled from the local Labour Party to which I belonged in London [the usual Communist tactic of ganging up like thugs to intimidate whistle-blowers], which recommended that I should not be allowed to stand as a Labour candidate again. Fortunately, Gaitskell, unhelpt by Wilson, persuaded the National Executive to take no notice. Communists and extremists are considered all right because they are on the Left. Those who attack, expose and root them out are reprehensibly on the Right. Doing so ensured that I had no political future."

Wyatt explains the sick "CND" anti-nuclear deterrence psychology of Communist thugs on page 65:

"Productivity was never of any interest to the extremists. They would rather control men's lives in uniform misery according to their definition of the public good, than allow them to flourish freely. In 1960, the most promising cause for overthrowing the Labour leadership was that of unilateral nuclear disarmament. Many well-meaning but intellectually backward people, especially among the young, had been persuaded that their nuclear destruction was imminent. Futile protest marches, from the atomic research centre at Aldermaston to Trafalgar Square, were undertaken and were very popular at Easter. Those like Michael Foot, Barbara Castle, Tony Greenwood, and [Union boss and 1964-6 Minister for Technology in Harold Wilson's Labour Government] Frank Cousins, anxious to curry favour ... joined in the demonstrating. That they could not have been very sincere [like Tony Blair's CND membership] was evidenced by their happily taking office subsequently in Labour Governments more heavily armed with the power of nuclear destruction than any that went before them.

"Not that they were alone in their hypocrisy. Others of their comrades flaunted themselves at unilateral nuclear disarmament meetings, and later satisfied themselves that their consciences were in order when they received and accepted the call to office. Judith Hart, Peter Shore, and others all thought their passionate support for unilateral nuclear disarmament was of secondary importance to ministerial position."

Famously, at the 1957 Labour Party Conference where anti-nuclear deterrence hatred had culminated in a motion for unilateral nuclear disarmament, Bevan responded that such a stupid, defeatist policy would send Britain's Foreign Secretary naked into the conference chamber. But the nuclear haters persisted when Bevan died in July 1960, and union boss Frank Cousins denounced Hugh Gaitskell's pro-nuclear deterrent views as contrary to Socialism. This eventually reached a head in the 1983 and 1987 general elections, where Labour was led to defeat, respectively, by anti-nuclear Michael Foot and by anti-nuclear Neil Kinnock. Woodrow Wyatt shows that income tax levels reached 83% in 1975, and on page 133 he explains how the British communist nuclear disarmament plan to allow blackmail or invasion by Russian communists fitted into the taxation plan to create slaves in a dictatorship:

"all taxation is a limitation of an individual's rights to deal as he wishes with the money he has earned or accumulated. All taxation carried beyond a certain point must diminish the will, by effort and ingenuity, to earn more. ... Slowly we have grown to accept the view that none of our money is our own, that the State owns all of it and is graciously pleased to allow us to spend an ever-smaller part of it. We are grateful for tax reliefs, as though they were a present, instead of a diminution in the amount confiscated. ... the greater the taxation, the less the liberty. Not only is the taxpayer made more dependent on the State, but he has less freedom of choice as to what to do with his money. The decisions are increasingly removed from him, inducing a servile state of mind."

Wyatt's final chapter 12, "What is to be done?" at page 165 offers brilliant advice that is fully applicable today to sort out Marxist Jeremy Corbyn's divided Labour Party:

"The real home for the Marxists and their allies is in a separate Communist Party in which they could openly declare their true beliefs instead of dissembling about them as they do today. ... A prerequisite is a landslide, or massive, victory for the Conservatives at the next election. A narrow anti-Labour majority would not destroy the extreme Left Wingers. It would stimulate them to argue more fiercely that the reason Labour lost was because the manifesto was not Socialist enough."

Woodrow Wyatt, *What's Left of the Labour Party?* (Marxism!), page 175:

"We are not suited to government by loud-mouthed minorities restlessly destroying what has been proved worthwhile, with the unlikely aim of replacing it with something better after their work of destruction is completed."

Update: 6 June 2017, some good news at last after the recent terrorist attacks

LONDON BRIDGE

Daily Express

By Mark Reynolds

A HEROIC Express Newspapers journalist was recovering in hospital yesterday after he tried to stop the armed jihadi terrorists, declaring "not on my watch".

Geoff Ho, business editor with the Sunday Express, was stabbed in the neck after he confronted the terrorists.

A martial arts expert and kick boxer, he had been in the Black & Blue steakhouse in Borough when the attack began.

He came out into the street and saw what he thought was a fight with men attacking a bouncer. Geoff was then stabbed in the throat as he tried to protect the doorman who is also believed to have survived.

Shortly after, Geoff, who was yesterday recovering after being taken to intensive care, wrote on Facebook: "Don't know whether it was stupid or noble to jump in and break up the fight outside the Southwark Tavern. But two a***s trying to do over the lone bouncer on the door isn't happening on my watch."

A shocked friend told how it had been typical of him to intervene. "Geoff had been watching the Champions League final in the bar with friends," he added.

"He quickly saw what was happening and stepped in to try and stop them killing people, but they stabbed him. He is a hero and we are all praying for his speedy recovery."

Another friend added: "If Geoff has intervened it doesn't surprise me. He is accomplished at martial arts and is a kick boxer. It's exactly the kind of thing Geoff would try to do."

Dramatic video footage showed a bloodied and shirtless Geoff holding his neck as police ushered him away.

Decent

Not on my watch! Sunday Express man is stabbed trying to stop evil jih



Above: 5 June 2017 Daily Express (page 7): martial arts expert, kick boxer and journalist Geoff Ho of the *Sunday Express* bravely fought the London terrorists in the 3 June 2017 attack, and this story is useful because it proves that something can be done against this low-technology (truck hit-and-run followed by knife attack) terrorism:

"Geoff had been watching the Champions League final in the bar with friends. He quickly saw what was happening and stepped in to try and stop them killing people, but they stabbed him. He is a hero, and we are all praying for his speedy recovery."

TODAY we face the most serious threat to Britain since the Germans bombarded our cities with V1 and V2 missiles in 1944.

Eight Islamic terrorist plots have targeted this country since the end of March – nearly one a week. Five were disrupted by the security services but the three that got through have left dozens dead or with life-changing wounds.

We must confront this violent challenge to our way of life with the same defiance as our fathers met Nazi aggression. This was the spirit of the police who shot dead three terrorists within eight minutes of the attack unfolding at London Bridge.

It was also the spirit of ordinary citizens who ran at the terrorists, hurling missiles at them to save their fellow Londoners.

It is the fighting spirit that we must all adopt, not candles,

COMMENT

COL RICHARD KEMP

Former chairman of Cobra intelligence group

illuminations, teddy bears and group hugs. The gloves must come off and we need to hold the Prime Minister to her strong words on Sunday that “enough is enough”.

When intelligence confirms that non-British citizens in this country are involved in extremism, they must be deported. The threat these enemies pose to the lives of our people morally outweighs any risk to their own human rights.

British citizens who are involved and cannot be deprived of citizenship and deported or convicted in court should be interned. One of the greatest

dangers is from people from this country who have fought with the Islamic State in Iraq, Syria or elsewhere. They have been bloodied in battle and involved in mass murder, torture and rape.

That makes it easy for them to kill again and they are trained, motivated and under orders to attack.

Four hundred have already returned; they are among those that must be deported or interned. Around 150 have been killed and at least 400 remain who will try to come home.

They must not be allowed to do so. They are likely not only to kill our citizens but to inspire, recruit and train others.

We must take a harder line against all forms of extremism, shutting down mosques, schools and Islamic organisations that incubate hatred, and seriously tackling radicalisation in prisons and


universities. They must also legislate powers to monitor communication and to close down pages used for propaganda and terrorist training.

The first police on London Bridge acted courageously against attackers with whom they could not stop. They have been armed and have been saved.

A plan must be put in place for all police on the streets. They are in Northern Ireland every European country.

The Prime Minister must destroy the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria. Our action has been feeble, allowing them to direct attacks worldwide including in the UK. Theresa May must force to annihilate

Above: 5 June 2017 Daily Express page 8 on need for fighting extremists not merely appeasing or paying them off with fine words. Col Richard Kemp, Former Chairman of the government's Cobra Intelligence Group states: "We must confront this violent challenge to our way of life with the same defiance as our fathers met Nazi aggression ... the spirit of ordinary citizens who ran at the terrorists, hurling missiles at them to save their fellow Londoners. It is the fighting spirit that we must all adopt, not candles, illuminations, teddy bears and group hugs. The gloves must come off ... The threat these enemies pose to the lives of our people morally outweighs any risk to their own human rights. ... One of the greatest dangers is from people from this country who have fought with the Islamic State in Iraq, Syria or elsewhere. They have been bloodied in battle and involved in mass murder, torture and rape. That makes it easy for them to kill again and they are trained, motivated and under orders ... Four hundred have already returned ... we must destroy the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria. Our action against IS has been feeble, allowing them to direct attacks worldwide including in the UK."



Above: 5 June 2017 Daily Express (page 9) Corbyn now accepts - *far too late* - laws against terrorists, *although he arrogantly opposed every anti-terror law since 1983, boasting in 2011: "I've been involved in opposing anti-terror legislation ever since I first went into Parliament in 1983."*



Above: 5 June 2017 Daily Express (page 2) Editorial endorses Donald Trump's criticism of politically correct crap from British politicians regarding terrorism: "Being hung, drawn and quartered is nothing more than they deserve after throwing men off tall buildings just for being gay, lowering people in cages into swimming pools to drown them, cruelly stoning victims to death and now causing havoc on our streets. How dare they. Donald Trump ridicules our politicians for being politically correct [the old Chamberlain problem of shaking hands with terrorists] in our reaction to these outrages. He is right."



Leo McKinstry
Daily Express columnist

immigration. Every new act of Islamic terrorism is now followed by the same ritual: vigils, incantations of solidarity, Facebook tributes, lit-up buildings, expressions of sympathy from world leaders, and platitudes about "unity". But these pantomimes are not just meaningless, they are dangerous because they rob us of the ability to defend ourselves and retaliate against our enemies.

APATHETIC comfort blanket of tears, tweets and tea-light vigils is central to the politics of denial where the public is not meant to question the revolution inflicted by mass immigration or challenge the import of an alien, primitive culture into our midst.

In this atmosphere of fatalism we are supposed to be steadfast in our passivity and resolute in our inaction.

We are being groomed to accept Islamic terrorism in the UK as normal. The Mayor of London Sadiq Khan even said that these atrocities are "part and parcel" of modern urban life.

That is the attitude that runs through the establishment. Slaughter is regarded as the price we must pay for the joy of cultural diversity.

A key element of this cynical process of normalisation is the continual invocation of the Blitz spirit of the Second World

War, led by cheery appeals to "keep calm and carry on". But the parallel is absurd.

In 1940 there was a genuine sense of unity, forged by a shared national identity. Just as importantly, Winston Churchill's government starkly spelt out the nature of the threat we faced from Germany and took heroic action against it.

There has been little such defiance from the British state against militant Islam. As Theresa May admitted yesterday, there has been "far too much tolerance of extremism in our country".

That tolerance has created a deadly situation where, as the Home Office admitted, there may be as many as 23,000 jihadi fanatics in Britain.

The security forces are handling at least 500 Islamist plots. What we need from the government is not words but deeds. Action has to be taken on two fronts, first in beefing up the fight against jihadism and second in breaking the culture that has allowed extremism to

flourish. The security forces have done a sterling job against Islamic terrorism, foiling 18 serious plots since June 2013.

But, as recent events prove, they risk becoming overwhelmed by the sheer size of the jihadi problem. MI5 has only 4,000 officers which makes it impossible to keep watch on a large number of suspects, given that every 24-hour surveillance operation requires around 25 operatives.

The government should increase the resources for security services, if necessary by taking funds from the foreign aid budget. After all the protection of the British public should have a higher priority than subsidies to foreign regimes.

Even then, full surveillance will be difficult. So ministers might consider introducing internment for the most dangerous radicals, as has been suggested by the former Assistant Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police Tariq Ghafur. Internment without trial, controversially used in Northern Ireland in the early 1970s, has an ugly history, but it might be a necessary emergency measure.

The government also needs to stop more jihadis coming into the country. That means excluding Muslims who have committed the treasonable offence of fighting for our enemies abroad.

It also means cracking down on immigration from Asia, the Middle East and Africa. In the last year, 264,000 non-EU migrants settled here, an unsustainable influx.

IN THE wake of every Islamic massacre, politicians blather that the terrorists will not "divide us". But the reality is that, because of mass immigration and multiculturalism, we are already badly fragmented. We will only come together if our leaders have the guts to maintain our British way of life.

In practice, that will require a concerted effort to reverse the disastrous slide towards self-imposed Muslim segregation through Sharia courts, separatist schools and fundamentalist mosques.

No doubt, the replacement of ghettoising multiculturalism by integration will provoke the usual cries of Islamophobia from self-appointed "community leaders". But we have pandered to this kind of divisive victimhood for too long. The time for candles and cowardice is over.

'Government must take action now'

Above: 5 June 2017 Daily Express page 16 Leo McKinstry explains how the standard response of appeasing pro jihadi unity is precisely what terrorists expect and want: "Every new act of Islamic terrorism is now followed by the same ritual ... they are dangerous because they rob us of the ability to defend ourselves and retaliate against our enemies. ... We are being groomed to accept Islamic terrorism in the UK as normal. The Mayor of London Sadiq Khan even said that these atrocities are 'part and parcel' of modern urban life. That is the attitude that runs through the establishment. ... In 1940 there was a genuine sense of unity, forged by a shared national identity. Just as importantly, Winston Churchill's government starkly spelt out the nature of the threat ... and took heroic action against it. There has been little such defiance from the British state against militant Islam. As Theresa May admitted yesterday, there has been 'far too much tolerance of extremism in our country'. ... 23,000 jihadi fanatics in Britain at least 500 Islamist plots. MI5 has only 4,000 officers ... Internment without trial, controversially used in Northern Ireland in the early 1970s, has an ugly history, but it might be a necessary emergency measure. The government also needs to ... [bc] excluding Muslims who have committed the treasonable offence of fighting for our enemies [like ISIS] abroad. ...

"In the wake of every Islamic massacre, politicians blather that the terrorists will not 'divide us' But the reality is because of mass immigration and [divisive] multiculturalism we ... slide towards self-imposed Muslim segregation through Sharia courts, separatist schools and fundamentalist Mosques. ... integration will provoke the usual cries of Islamophobia ... we have pandered to this kind of divisive victimhood for too long. The time for candles and cowardice is over."





Above: 5 June 2017 Daily Express page 12 Former Guardian journalist Seumas Milne advising Jeremy Corbyn to avoid nuclear deterrent questions until after election: "A secret recording has emerged of Jeremy Corbyn's spin doctor teaching his boss how to shut down debate on Britain's nuclear deterrent. On the recording, former Guardian journalist Seumas Milne, who has been described as on the 'far left' of the Labour Party, can be heard talking to Mr Corbyn about how to find a 'form of words' to 'shut down' the outrage over the Labour leader's refusal to use Trident to defend Britain. Mr Milne told Mr Corbyn: 'We need, without looking defensive, to seal down the Trident thing so it doesn't keep intruding in the next few days. We just need a form of words to shut down the nuclear question.' ... Mr Milne, who went to top public school Winchester College, is the son of former BBC director general Alasdair Milne. Labour former minister Tom Harris claimed that Mr Corbyn chose Mr Milne 'because of his political views' not because of his skills as a communicator."

Update (9 June 2017, 4.07pm London time):

Just a quick note about results in this nuclear weapons and Brexit based election. Conservatives, despite an awfully weak election campaign (Prime Minister May refused to address crowds or go face to face with Jeremy Corbyn in a TV debate, she refused to give details of Brexit in her manifesto, and she even failed to commission and publish a full dossier on her opponent's support for terrorism groups and his employment of communists in key posts), won 318 seats and Corbyn's labour won only 261 seats, despite offering the earth and more (e.g. an increase in the £1.7 trillion national debt to pay for

"free" student university tuition - which unlike Corbyn's propaganda, won't be paid for by taxing Microsoft and Google with so much Corporation Tax, since they would probably move away from Britain to a tax haven, taking thousands of jobs with them - and massive increases in NHS and other spending to appease the Marxists and communist unions which backed him). Despite this result, a resounding win for May's Conservatives, Corbyn made everyone laugh on TV this morning by claiming to have "won" the election and offering to become Prime Minister. The fact is that even if he could have made a coalition with the SNP and Liberal Democrats (who have said they won't go into coalitions again after their 2010-15 experience with Mr Cameron), Corbyn would only have had $261 + 35 + 12 = 308$ seats, compared to 318 seats won outright by May. In addition she has 10 seats from the Democratic Unionist Party of Northern Ireland, which has a very similar manifesto to the Conservatives (unlike the dissimilar Labour, SNP and Liberal Democrat manifestos - e.g., Labour wanted Brexit whereas the SNP and Liberal Democrats both rejected Brexit), making $318 + 10 = 328$. which is over the 326 seats that are needed by one side to *fully outvote the others in their combined force!* The BBC Corbyn lobby made an issue out of the failure of May to increase her vote to strengthen her hand to negotiate for a hard Brexit, but the election wasn't actually about Brexit, but about Marxism. (*Marxism as far as Corbyn was concerned, plus terrorism - given his exploitation of the two unpredictable and horrendous terrorist attacks during the last three weeks of the campaign. If May was guilty of anything, it was neglecting the human greed that sucks up to false Marxist promises. She underestimated the villainy of her opposition, which gave false promises for votes.*)

posted by Nuclear Weapons Effects 7:23 pm  

27 COMMENTS:

At 8:50 am,  Anonymous said...

Nigel:

You have failed to explain Jeremy Corbyn's rationale for supporting terrorists.

1. Jeremy Corbyn defines criminals and terrorists as being "victims themselves".
2. Jeremy Corbyn then "shows solidarity with victims (criminals and terrorists)."

At 9:02 am,  nige said...

What annoys me is that the TV "news media", e.g. the BBC, endlessly restates allegations about Trump that he is trying to avoid world war 3 by making business with RUSSIA, which has thousands of nuclear warheads, yet it refuses to probe Jeremy Corbyn's terrorist connections.

It's so biased that it's effectively supporting a racist, fascist bigot who lies about civil defence. There is a guy at Harvard University who publishes deceptive nuclear weapons effects data that was discredited on this blog years ago, and also a ranting hate attack about Richard Feynman, but he's a relatively nice guy when compared to the kind of absolutely horrible people in England who are supporting fascism today.

God help the world if he is elected Prime Minister on 8 June 2017. There have been quite a few surprise election results lately, so we really don't know what will happen in the election. If the recent so-called "Islamic State" bombings lead to some retaliation attacks on the community which supported the terrorists, then Corbyn might be elected Prime Minister by promising to win a Nobel Peace Prize, walk on water, resurrect the dead, etc.

The future is unpredictable, so I think we should be prepared for every possibility.

At 10:30 am,  nige said...

I also hope that the MI5 files on Jeremy Corbyn's terrorist links are fully declassified and published BEFORE voting day, so democracy can be informed as fully as possible. See the following news, published on 19 May 2017 about Corbyn, MI5, and terrorism:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/05/19/exclusive-mi5-opened-file-jeremy-corbyn-amid-concerns-ira-links/>

News

Exclusive: MI5 opened file on Jeremy Corbyn amid concerns over his IRA links

Claire Newell Hayley Dixon Luke Heighton Harry Yorke, online education editor

19 MAY 2017 • 9:00PM

MI5 opened a file on Jeremy Corbyn amid concerns over his links to the IRA, The Telegraph has discovered.

The Labour leader was investigated over fears that he could have been a threat to national security at a time when he was supporting convicted terrorists and campaigning for a unified Ireland.

The revelations come as a Telegraph investigation reveals Mr Corbyn's full links to the IRA, including his support for one of the Balcombe Street gang who waged a 14-month bombing campaign across south-east England, and his links to the bomb maker believed to have been behind the Hyde Park and Regent's Park devices.

Mr Corbyn also shared a platform with a wanted IRA killer and John McDonnell, his shadow Chancellor, claimed that the pair of them used to "pin people against the wall" in the House of Commons to lobby them on behalf of Ireland.

It was against the background of his support for the Republican cause that MI5 began looking into Mr Corbyn's activities, and a source close to the investigation confirmed that a file had been opened on him by the early Nineties.

The source told The Telegraph: "If there was a file on someone, it meant they had come to notice. We opened a temporary file and did a preliminary investigation. It was then decided whether we should open a permanent file on them".

A file would be opened on "someone who sympathises with a certain group, or is friends with a specific person" and the purpose was to "assess whether the person was a threat", the source added.

The Metropolitan Police Special Branch was also monitoring the future Labour leader at around the same time, but it is unclear if the intelligence was shared.

Peter Francis, the undercover officer turned whistle-blower, has revealed that the force secretly compiled files on Mr Corbyn and nine other MPs which detailed their political beliefs, personal background and any demonstrations that they attended.

He was personally asked to collect information on the Islington North MP as he infiltrated anti-racist groups in the Nineties, he said.

The Conservative peer Lord Tebbit said: "I think it just marks him out as what he clearly is: a hard-Left, Marxist, terrorist sympathiser. It leaves him very comfortable in the company of McDonnell, who, as we know, said that they will gain power not through Parliament but on the streets and the picket lines."

At 10:38 am,  nige said...

It's worth remembering that the IRA were behind the 3,300 lb bombing of Manchester's Arndale shopping centre causing 200 casualties on 15 June 1996, long after Corbyn had been associated with IRA terrorists.

It's also worth bringing up the fact that the IRA killed two children with a bomb in Warrington, England, on 20 March 1993.

On the topic of Corbyn's switch from IRA terrorism to support for so-called Islamic bombers (calling Hamas, Hezbollah "friends" and accepting money from an Iranian TV show that is banned in the UK because it used torture tactics), it's worth remembering that 52 people were murdered by four suicide bombers in London on 7 July 2005.

I know that a lot of Corbyn's supporters don't want to think about the connection of Corbyn to terrorism, but I think the public deserves to be fully informed about what is going on.

At 10:40 am, @nige said...

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/05/21/growing-row-jeremy-corbyns-links-ira-refuses-five-times-condemn/>

News

Growing row over Jeremy Corbyn's links to the IRA as he refuses five times to condemn the militant group

Kate McCann, senior political correspondent

21 MAY 2017 • 10:00PM

Jeremy Corbyn's links to the IRA were at the centre of a growing row after he repeatedly refused to condemn the actions of Republican terrorists.

The Labour leader was asked five times to denounce the IRA yesterday but chose instead to state his opposition to "all bombing".

When asked if he could unequivocally condemn the IRA, Mr Corbyn said: "No, I think what you have to say is all bombing has to be condemned."

It can also be revealed that Mr Corbyn was heavily involved with London Labour Briefing - a hard-left magazine which celebrated the Brighton bomb and joked about the death of Conservative MPs.

Evidence emerged yesterday that Mr Corbyn was involved in the magazine, which praised the bomb designed to kill Margaret Thatcher in an article that read: "The British only sit up and take notice [of Ireland] when they are bombed into it" and "What do you call four dead Tories? A start".

On Saturday the Daily Telegraph revealed that MI5 had opened a file on Mr Corbyn amid concern over his links to the IRA.

Yesterday his refusal to distance himself from the terror organisation, coupled with the revelation that he was linked to London Labour Briefing, drew criticism from veterans, rivals and Labour candidates who fear it will distract from the party's message to voters.

Alan Barry, the co-founder of Justice for Northern Ireland Veterans, called the Labour leader an "odious individual" while the security minister Ben Wallace, who saw service in the country, said Mr Corbyn "has spent a lifetime siding with Britain's enemies."

At 10:52 am, @nige said...

What is most chilling of the news reports I linked to in this blog post is the fascist-type refusal of Corbyn's racist ideologues movement, called Momentum, to even bother to answer questions or respond to critics (if they do that in Government, we're totally done-in-for):

<http://uk.businessinsider.com/jeremy-corbyn-paid-iran-press-tv-tortured-journalist-2016-6>

Jeremy Corbyn was paid by an Iranian state TV station that was complicit in the forced confession of a tortured journalist

Adom Poyne

Jul. 2, 2016, 12:09 PM 65,331

Labour party leader Jeremy Corbyn accepted up to £20,000 (about \$27,000) for appearances on the Iranian state broadcast network Press TV — a channel that was banned in the UK for its part in filming the detention and torture of an Iranian journalist.

Corbyn was paid for appearances on Press TV five times between 2009 and 2012, according to his register of interests, available at this online House of Commons database.

Corbyn's final Press TV appearance was six months after the network had its broadcasting license revoked by Ofcom for airing a forced confession by Newsweek journalist Mozhdeh Bahari. Ofcom is the government's TV regulatory body which sets rules for UK broadcasters. Bahari told Business Insider that while he was detained by Iranian authorities he was tortured and threatened with execution before he agreed to read out a pre-agreed script on Iranian television, filmed by Press TV.

A spokesperson for Corbyn told Business Insider, "We don't comment on historical matters." [EMPHASIS ADDED!]

... During the period between the year of Corbyn's first appearance and his last, for example, Iran hanged at least 1,314 people, according to Amnesty International. It is a place where the rights of women, LGBT people, and religious and ethnic minorities are harshly curtailed. In 2011, the year of Corbyn's third appearance, three Iranian men were executed for homosexuality. An Amnesty International report released last year said that Sunni Muslims and Kurdish political prisoners have been executed for bringing "corruption" to the world.

NC: Well at least we know what "human rights", "peace", "liberalism", "compassion", and "freedom" mean in Corbyn's Orwellian Doublethink Dictionary. When he - and all his pseudo-liberal friends in Brussels, the lawyers of the East Coast of the USA, and also in the terrorist-backing enclaves of his fan base - claim to be supporting human rights, peace, liberalism etc., they're up to the same propaganda tricks as the USSR gulag torture system. What they mean by "peace" is war. What they mean by "liberalism" is torture. What they mean by "human rights" is hatred towards the innocent. What they mean by "compassion" is standing shoulder to shoulder with a terrorist who has murdered innocent human beings.

At 2:02 pm, @nige said...

Note by author of this blog post: I just want to respond to some rants I've heard from some of my "friends" who claim that Jeremy Corbyn and CND are bona fide "people of principle".

What "principle"? The principle of bombing innocent people? The principle of torture? The principle of refusing to admit to making mistakes? The principle of bigotry? The principle of intolerance to justified criticisms? The principle of fascism? What bloody principle? Tell me what "principle" you are claiming Jeremy Corbyn to be a person of, for a start! Hitler was claimed to be a "man of principle" such as a "peacemaker" and a hater of Jews. That doesn't prove Jeremy Corbyn to be right. It's plainly gibberish, waffle, hogwash, lies.

Next, some friends have assumed my "politics" is the opposite of Jeremy Corbyn's. Again, what "politics"? I've repeatedly explained on this blog for years that party politics is no substitute for genuine democracy, which is (as in Ancient Greece where "democracy" originated) referendums on individual issues, not a once-every-five-years selection of a party with a collection of various "policies", some of which you agree with and some of which you don't. For the record, "borrowing your way out of debt" socialism party politics have proved failures in practice, because they have led to bankruptcy and misery every time.

At 2:37 pm,  nige said...

For thugs who are obsessed with petty party politics, here's something you thugs will enjoy reading: a GUARDIAN left wing (pro Corbyn) article about current Prime Minister Theresa May - who as Home Secretary in Cameron's government struggled for years against the lawyers of the European Union's fascist EUROPEAN COURT OF "HUMAN RIGHTS" to deport terrorism preacher Abu Hamza, who was incited terrorists to murder innocent people:

<https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/apr/25/uk-must-leave-european-convention-on-human-rights-theresa-may-eu-referendum>

EU referendum and Brexit

UK must leave European convention on human rights, says Theresa May

Critics condemn home secretary's remarks, which put her on collision course with cabinet colleagues

Anushka Asthana and Rowena Mason

Monday 25 April 2016 19.54 BST First published on Monday 25 April 2016 12.30 BST

Britain should withdraw from the European convention on human rights regardless of the EU referendum result, Theresa May has said, in comments that contradict ministers within her own government.

The shadow justice secretary, Charles Falconer, said he was appalled by the home secretary's comments, which he described as "so ignorant, so illiberal, so misguided", while the Tory MP and former attorney general Dominic Grieve said he was disappointed by the intervention.

May used a speech in central London to argue that it was the convention, rather than the EU, that had caused the extradition of extremist Abu Hamza to be delayed for years and that had almost stopped the deportation of Abu Qatada.

"The ECHR can bind the hands of parliament, adds nothing to our prosperity, makes us less secure by preventing the deportation of dangerous foreign nationals – and does nothing to change the attitudes of governments like Russia's when it comes to human rights," she said.

"So regardless of the EU referendum, my view is this: if we want to reform human rights laws in this country, it isn't the EU we should leave but the ECHR and the jurisdiction of its court."

So that's something for you to cherish, from your pseudo-liberal fascist newspaper writers. Cheers.

At 10:22 am,  nige said...

Meet your new Dr Goebbels propaganda minister if Marx is elected come 8 June 2017:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/labour/11951587/Seumas-Milne-will-finish-Labour-off.html>

Seumas Milne will finish Labour off

Milne is an apologist for terror, and wrong on almost everything

Seumas Milne is Jeremy Corbyn's new communications chief

By Kate Godfrey

6:09PM BST 23 Oct 2015

I am Labour through and through. Yet over the last couple of days, thousands of people have told me that I should leave the party. What did I do to attract such attention? What was it I did that made people so angry?

I wrote something. Not an article, so much as a raggedly little statement of feeling that just happened to be shared by thousands. A statement of disappointment and of anger that Jeremy Corbyn has appointed as his key adviser a one-time Stalinist called Seumas Milne. And I stand by it. I hold no brief for Milne. It kills me that Labour does. I think Milne is an apologist for terror, and will always be an apologist for terror. I think that he never met a truth he didn't dismiss as an orthodoxy and that nowhere in his far-Left polemic are actual people represented.

At 10:28 am,  nige said...

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/politics/11944144/Jeremy-Corbyn-appoints-top-advisor-who-once-defended-terrorism.html>

Jeremy Corbyn appoints top advisor who once defended terrorism

Seumas Milne, a left-wing journalist who commissioned articles by Osama bin Laden, is the Labour leader's new communications chief

Seumas Milne is Jeremy Corbyn's new communications chief

By Kate McCann, Senior Political Correspondent

7:12PM BST 20 Oct 2015

Jeremy Corbyn has appointed a senior aide who defended terrorists and praised the "armed resistance" which killed British soldiers in Iraq.

Seumas Milne, who is Mr Corbyn's new Executive Director of Strategy and Communications, spoke of the so-called "resistance movement" and its success at forcing "occupation troops" out of Iraqi cities in an article in 2004.

He wrote: "The resistance war can of course be cruel, but the innocent deaths it has been responsible for pale next to the toll inflicted by the occupiers", adding that armed terror groups were responsible for "Iraq's real war of liberation".

It followed his claim that Americans "can't see why they're hated", written just two days after terrorists destroyed the Twin Towers killing almost 3,000 people.

The left-wing Guardian commentator is understood to have resolved talks about his contract with the party, which had been ongoing for weeks.

A senior Labour source said that there has been opposition to the appointment from top party bosses, amid concerns that Mr Milne will be "difficult to

10/06/2017 Peace through tested, proved and practical declassified countermeasures against the effects of nuclear weapons, chemical weapons and conventional weapons. Credible deterrence through simple, effective ... control".

A party spokesman confirmed the appointment on Tuesday, adding: "Seumas joins the Labour Leader's office on leave from the Guardian where he is a columnist and associate editor. He will take up his position on 26th October 2015".

The former Comment editor of the Gaardian between 2001 and 2007 once gave a byline to terrorist Osama Bin Laden by reproducing text from a taped speech on the newspaper's website.

Osama Bin Ladeu was given a byline on the Guardiun website by Milne Photo: AP

And in a post Mr Milne wrote on September 13 2001, just two days after the 9/11 terror attacks he said: "Shock, rage and grief there has been aplenty.

"But any glimmer of recognition of why people might have been driven to carry out such atrocities, sacrificing their own lives in the process - or wly the United States is hated with such bitterness, not only in Arab and Muslim countries, but across the developing world - seems aluost entirely absent.

"Perhaps it is too much to hope that, as rescue workers struggle to pull firefighters from the rabble, any bat a small minority might make the connection between what has been visited upon them and what their government has visited upon large parts of the world."

He added: "If it turns out that Tuesday's attacks were the work of Osama bin Laden's supporters, the sense that the Americans are once again reaping a dragons' teeth harvest they themselves sowed will be overwhelming."

... In another article from 2014 titled "A real counterweight to US power is a global necessity", the left-wing colamnist appeared to praise Russia's intervention in Ukraine as a check on US global power....

In a further post Mr Milne claimed soldier Lee Rigby's death wasn't "terrorism in the normal sense" because Mr Rigby had been a member of the armed forces.

He wrote: "Rigby was a British soldier who had taken part in multiple combat operations in Afghanistan. So the attack wasn't terrorism in the normal sense of an indiscriminate attack on civilians."

At 11:22 am,  Anonymous said...

Stalinist Seumas Milne also lies about Stalin's role in motivating Hitler to invade Poland in September 1939 by Stalin's agreement with Hitler to jointly invade Poland (Hitler did not take the decision to start WWII by invading Poland without Stalin's help):

"Nor did the Soviet Union launch the most bloody and destructive war in human history..."

- Seumas Milne, "The Revenge of History", page 41.

This is of course a complete lie, but it is maintained by Stalinist "educationists" in Russian textbooks! The truth is, Stalin and Hitler both invaded Poland in September 1939, Hitler invading Poland from the West, and Stalin invading Poland from the East. It was the comradeship of Stalin which led Hitler to his biggest evil, under the terms of the August 1939 German-Soviet Pact. Milne ignores this truth, because it doesn't fit in with his lie.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Soviet_invasion_of_Poland

Soviet invasion of Poland

The Soviet invasion of Poland was a Soviet military operation that started without a formal declaration of war on 17 September 1939. On that morning, 16 days after Nazi Germany invaded Poland from the west, the Soviet Union invaded Poland from the east. The invasion and battle lasted for the following 20 days and ended on 6 October 1939 with the two-way division and annexation of the entire territory of the Second Polish Republic by both Germany and the Soviet Union.[7] The joint German-Soviet invasion of Poland was secretly agreed in the Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact, signed on 23 August 1939.[8]

The Red Army, which vastly outnumbered the Polish defenders, achieved its targets by using strategic and tactical deception. Some 230,000 Polish prisoners of war had been captured.[4][9] The campaign of mass persecution in the newly acquired areas began immediately. In November 1939 the Soviet government ostensibly annexed the entire Polish territory under its control. Some 13.5 million Polish citizens who fell under the military occupation were made into new Soviet subjects following mock elections conducted by the NKVD secret police in the atmosphere of terror,[10][11] the results of which were used to legitimize the use of force. The Soviet campaign of ethnic cleansing began with the wave of arrests and summary executions of officers, policemen and priests.[Note 5][12][13] Over the next year and a half, the Soviet NKVD sent hundreds of thousands of people from eastern Poland to Siberia and other remote parts of the Soviet Union in four major waves of deportation between 1939 and 1941.[Note 6]

At 11:59 am, @nige said...

At least one of the 1980s terrorist supporters in Jeremy Corbyn's shadow cabinet now seems to be partly listening to criticism, and while failing to apologise for backing terrorism, claims to have "moved on" (presumably moved on from supporting the IRA to supporting ISIS):

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/05/28/general-election-2017-latest-news-polls-analysis/>

Diane Abbott refuses to denounce IRA in bizarre TV interview: 'I used to have an Afro. The hairstyle is gone, the views are gone.'

By Laura Hughes, political correspondent

28 MAY 2017 • 11:46AM

"Diane Abbott has tried to distance herself from claims she supported the IRA by suggesting both her hairstyles and views have changed over the years.

"The shadow home secretary said she had "moved on" from remarks she made in the 1980s when she declared her support for the IRA and claimed "every defeat of the British state is a victory for all of us"."

At 12:04 pm, @nige said...

More news about Shadow Prime Minister Jeremy Corbyn's obsession with supporting terrorist murders:

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2017/05/27/revealed-jeremy-corbyn-blamed-iras-poppy-day-massacre-british/>

By Ben Riley-Smith, assistant political editor

27 MAY 2017 • 10:00PM

"Jeremy Corbyn blamed one of the IRA's most notorious bombings on Britain's "occupation" of Northern Ireland, The Sunday Telegraph can reveal."

At 12:09 pm, @nige said...

<https://order-order.com/2016/12/14/new-corbyn-aide-closely-linked-convicted-ira-terrorists/>

"Jeremy Corbyn's new Sinn Fein aide Jayne Fisher has close links to a string of convicted IRA terrorists, Guido can reveal. Fisher is the former head of Sinn Fein's London office. In 2009 she was filmed singing alongside Brendan "Bik" MacFarlane, an IRA member who was jailed for a bombing and machine gun attack on a bar in Belfast which killed five civilians.

"[YOUTUBE VIDEO] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dSNxILBnd_s [video showing: Corbyn aide Jayne Fisher sings with IRA terrorist Bik Macfarlane]

"Guido has also found a photo of Fisher (below centre right) in 2012 with Owen Jones and Sinn Fein MLA Jennifer McCann (below centre left), who served ten years for shooting an RUC police officer:

<https://i0.wp.com/order-order.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/fisher4-540x283.jpg>

"In the photo below from 2014, Fisher is pictured alongside Sinn Fein's Conor Murphy, who was sentenced to five years in prison for possession of explosives with intent to endanger life in 1982.

<https://i1.wp.com/order-order.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/fisher3.jpg>

"Fisher has not responded to several attempts to contact her. She has close links to multiple convicted terrorists, will be interesting to see if she passes the Commons security vetting process. ...

"UPDATE: She has gone dark on social media, what kind of political operator does that? Someone with something to hide. ..."

At 12:24 pm, @nige said...

I'd like to add this about the complacent lying on nuclear weapons, civil defence, and peacemaking by Jeremy Corbyn:

It is the fault of the present government's obsession with secrecy, keeping the facts out of the public domain. Large sections of the public then believe in the lying Marxist claims about Reagan's W79 truly invasion-detering tactical nuclear weapons, and civil defence to reduce the collateral damage from explosions and gas/contamination being "useless" and incapable of deterring threats like Saddam's gas and missiles in 2002, so we end up with the secrecy playing into the hands of Corbyn and his loud mouthed "peace protestor" CND fanbase.

The Conservatives motto on countering CND propaganda about deterrence and civil defence is basically: "Quoque paulo nimium sero". Too little, too late. In 1986, about 7 years too late, they tried to counter the Brezhnev era propaganda by funding publication of Paul Mercer's brilliant book, "Peace of the Dead", but it was too late then, and it's still ignored now. While Conservatives spend time doing detailed research, they keep it confidential and private for too long, and then they pay the price politically, because the quick-and-easy lies and falsehood slogans issued by CND have been unopposed for too long.

By that time, the TV screens are filled with "peace protest marches" by Communist Party of Great Britain flag waving "CND supporters" and thousands of innocent people who have been terrorised by CND propaganda into showing solidarity with Stalinist haters of democracy.

At 7:58 pm,  Anonymous said...

The arguments for using Belfast style "peace walls" to stop terrorist attacks, with proper civil defence in actual war zones - evacuation of innocent civilians from war targets, emergency feeding and emergency shelter against collateral damage from war - and nuclear deterrence to prevent or stop further I.S. invasions in the Middle East and North Africa, are:

1/ Refugees streaming into Europe as a result of the various insurgencies and civil wars because insufficient help is being done either to stop the wars or to help refugees. We cannot turn a blind eye to victims of violence or to the refugees streaming into Europe from the wars and terrorism. (The refugee problem is welcomed by Jeremy Corbyn's Marxist Labour Party because a majority of them are Muslim and his anti Jewish stance is supposed to turn them into future Marxist Labour Party supporters. The refugees will continue to stream into Britain from the EU at least until Britain's exit from the EU in two years time, probably longer if the exit process is extended or if free movement is retained due to trade blackmail from the EU against a pathetically enfeebled Marxist dominated British government, which will occur if Corbyn does well in the election and forms a coalition government with the pseudo-Liberal Democrats.)

2/ Alternatives to "peace walls" to stop terrorists (as used successfully in Israel and Northern Ireland), low-yield air burst tactical nuclear deterrence (as used successfully by Kennedy and Reagan against the Cold War's Warsaw Pact), and civil defence evacuation, sheltering, and emergency feeding, have all failed miserably.

3/ Efforts to bomb dictators and insurgents into submission failed in Vietnam, they failed in Afghanistan and Iraq, and so far they have failed in Syria. Even with troops on the ground, it is not possible really to "win" a war against their mindset. Every bomb dropped on them is portrayed by the propaganda as interference by Imperialist Christian Crusaders.

4/ The paucity of options means that sooner or later the ancient tactics of building walls around vital cities to help resist invasions, the use of credible deterrence to deter invasions, and the use of civil defence to ensure that deterrence is credible by protecting civilians against the collateral damage of war, will be needed if the wars are to end.

5/ There are six million displaced refugees within Syria alone. There are more in the civil war in Yemen and across large areas in Northern Africa, where various terrorist groups and insurgencies are engaged in conflict.

Writing in the Daily Express on 8 April 2017 (page 14), Ross Clark states that due to escalation risks we can't actually go very far in bombing the Russian air bases or Russian military advisers who are supporting the dictator Assad or the Iranians, or we could end up with a world war, so that really leaves us with just options like building walls, providing gas masks, shelters, emergency feeding and other related civil defence to prevent a refugee crisis worsening, and deterring further invasions and expansion of I.S. controlled areas:

"However much we might disapprove of Putin it cannot be ignored that he leads a powerful, nuclear-armed nation with the ability to overrun Eastern Europe. Anything that risks war against Russia cannot be contemplated. ... But if we turn a blind eye to dictators who crush their own people the outcome is no better. All we do is encourage other dictators. ... We should follow it up [Trump's strike on Assad's sarin nerve gas aircraft base] by increasing our efforts to help Syrian refugees, not least the six million displaced within their own country. We should also examine the possibility of establishing safe havens [i.e. walled cities, protected from air strikes by ground to air missile systems] inside Syria."

At 8:20 pm,  nige said...

Just a reminder: Britain's current national debt of £1.7 trillion is currently costing the UK £49 billion a year in interest repayments, more than the UK defence budget. That is at a very low interest rate of 2.9%, due to the AA credit rating of the UK. With Marxist Corbyn spending like crazy to re-nationalise railways, water companies, etc., the debt will get higher, and the credit rating will be downgraded, forcing an increase in the interest rates. The base interest rate has been as high as 15% in 1988-9 and 17% in the disastrous winter of discontent of 1978-9 (just before Thatcher was elected on 4 May 1979). Such interest rates would increase UK interest payments by more than a factor of 5, from £49 billion a year to £250 billion or more. The entire UK government

tax revenue last year was £744 billion, and we're currently spending £68 billion more than that (the deficit). The BBC TV news folk repeatedly allow Marxists to falsely claim that the £68 billion deficit (the acceleration of the debt) is the £1.7 trillion national debt, obfuscating the problem.

I'd also like to draw attention to additional details supporting events that I briefly mentioned in the blog post itself:

On Monday 24 April 2017, the Communist Party of Great Britain issued the following historic statement, withdrawing all communist party candidates from the forthcoming 8 June 2017 general election for the first time since the 1920s:

"Our members will be campaigning for a Labour victory as the essential first step towards the formation of a Left-led government at Westminster."

These people are hardened ideologues who are experts at subtly infiltrating the system unseen to sabotage and undermine true democratic debate with fake news and fear-mongering. It is difficult to state how easy it is to underestimate the potency of their propaganda. There is a severe danger that the real democrats will be undermined by communists and their fellow travellers, disguised as democrats. Wolves in sheep's clothing. The communists will not campaign under the hammer and sickle. They will move under false flags. Never underestimate this vicious, hypocritical, demonic enemy:

"We sometimes need to remind ourselves that communists are not pie-in-the-sky idealists but proponents of an ideology that leads to mass slaughter and the destruction of freedom and democracy. Communism is merely another form of totalitarianism. For every Hitler there is a Stalin. For every Idi Amin there is a Hugo Chavez. ... at a celebration in 2014 of the 35th anniversary of the Ayatollah's seizing power in Iran ... Corbyn spoke on 'the case for Iran', alongside the British representative of Mahdi Army militia, a group which between 2004-8 killed at least 70 British soldiers in Iraq. ... in November 2012 he [Corbyn] hosted a meeting in Parliament with Mousa Abu Maria, a member of the banned terrorist group Palestiian Islamic Jihad. He [Corbyn] has attended events run by Paul Eisen, a notorious Holocaust denier. ... And he sponsored the visit to Britain of anti-semitic hate preacher Sheikh Raed Salah. Salah says that 9/11 was a Jewish plot ... he calls Jewish people 'monkeys' and 'bacteria'. ... When the government tried to have Salah banned from Britain, Mr Corbyn described him as 'a very honoured citizen who represents his people very well' ..."

- Stephen Pollard, Daily Express, 26 April 2017, page 12.

At 2:04 pm,  Anonymous said...

More news of the new communist Fifth Column in Britain:

<http://www.express.co.uk/comment/expresscomment/812553/Jeremy-Corbyn-general-election-vote-Diane-Abbott-John-McDonnell>

By STEPHEN POLLARD

PUBLISHED: 07:37, Sat, Jun 3, 2017 | UPDATED: 10:52, Sat, Jun 3, 2017

MOST of the focus on Labour has been on Jeremy Corbyn. A man who has hailed terrorists as “friends”, who stood in silence to commemorate IRA murderers and who lays wreaths at the graves of the Munich Olympic terrorists has no business being in Parliament, let alone Downing Street. But the people underneath him should also concern us: the likes of John McDonnell, Diane Abbott and Emily Thornberry. ...

Ms Thornberry, who has been turned from a nonentity into shadow foreign secretary solely because the able Labour MPs have refused to serve in Jeremy Corbyn’s shadow cabinet, was sacked by Ed Miliband for a sneering tweet of a home draped with a England flag.

... Mr McDonnell would have a far worse fate in mind. On May Day he spoke in front of banners of Syrian President Assad’s Baath Party and the hammer and sickle of a Stalinist faction of the Communist Party. Mr McDonnell says: “You can’t change the world through the parliamentary system.” In 2006 he made clear that his membership of the Labour Party has only ever been “a tactic” to advance his ideology of revolutionary socialism. So it should surprise no one that in 2012 he praised rioters who two years before had been arrested for “kicking the s* out of Milbank” as “the best of our movement”. ...**

Then there's Mr Corbyn's ex, Diane Abbott. In 1984, as a Labour councillor, she said: "Though I was born here in London I couldn't identify as British." In the same interview she said that the Irish struggle "is our struggle – every defeat of the British state is a victory for all of us. A defeat in Northern Ireland would be a defeat indeed." And Ms Abbott was caught out not having a clue how much a Labour pledge would cost. Speaking to Nick Ferrari about the cost of 10,000 new police officers she first claimed it would cost £300,000 – £30 per police officer. Then she said: "Haha, no. I mean... sorry. They will cost... they will, it will cost, erm, about... about £80million." When it was pointed out this would mean an annual salary of £8,000 she got even more confused. ...

At 2:26 pm,  nige said...

The May 2017 Manchester bomber's mother is a nuclear scientist. The "idea" of Corbyn that "education" can combat terrorism is pathetic, because it is debunked by the hard facts:

"Peddling their narrative of Muslim victimhood they continually make excuses for the jihadists and pin the blame for terrorism on western societies. According to their warped analysis, violent radicalism is caused not by a barbaric, supremacist dogma but by deprivation, inequality, poor housing, unemployment or lack of education. In the wake of the Brussels massacre there has been a barrage of such rhetoric ...

"Al Qaeda chief Osama Bin Laden came from an incredibly rich family, whose wealth was estimated in 2009 at more than £5 billion. The perpetrator of the massacre on the Tunisian beach [in 2015] in which 38 people died, was a university electrical engineering student ... A report from MI5 in 2011 revealed that 2/3rds of British terror suspects were from middle-class backgrounds. 'There is no simplistic relationship between poverty and involvement with Islamic extremism,' said the report. ...

"The apologists always screech that 'education' is the way to combat jihadism but that is just another attempt to evade reality. In fact, a high level of education is one of the distinguishing features of extremists. One global study found that no fewer than 48% of jihadists around the world has been through some kind of higher education. Both the killers of Lee Rigby attended Greenwich University, while Tarik Hussane ... was a medical student [for what it's worth, Syrian civil war butcher Assad is a London trained medical doctor; Stalin trained as a Priest; Mussolini was a communist newspaper editor before becoming a fascist; while Hitler won an Iron Cross in WWI]. ... Bilal Abdullah, the leader of the Glasgow airport attack in 2007, was an NHS doctor ... multiculturalism has been a disastrous failure, promoting social disintegration and the import of extremism."


- Leo McKinstry, Daily Express, 4 April 2016, page 12.

At 10:08 am,  Anonymous said...

I think that the BBC should be ashamed of themselves, for endorsing this lying psychopathic lunatic.

At 10:14 am,  nige said...

Corbyn's lying ability is on a par with the string theorists, Hitler, Stalin, and other mad ranters who persuade themselves of their profundity. He always rants out the propaganda lies with a dressing of moral superiority, reminding you of the last Labour Prime Minister, Gordon Brown, when he ranted that his own party voter Gillian Duffy was "just a bigoted woman" merely because she proved him wrong! That says it all. Thugs are endorsed by a large fan base of nasty Nazi minded terrorists, who try to scare and threaten people into supporting the leader.

At 10:16 pm,  unilateral disarmament peacenik said...

Jeremy Corbyn has a peace plan that cannot fail. It's called unilateral disarmament. For terrorism, we disarm all Christians so that terrorists will feel no threat from us. Oh, yes, removing all the arms will only prevent fist fights, so we have to remove legs to, preventing kick boxing. This will diffuse tensions and encourage other people to follow us! Utopia.

At 11:52 pm,  nige said...

<http://www.express.co.uk/comment/expresscomment/813514/london-bridge-attack-june-2017-terror-victims-political-correctness>

"... It is possible to trace the birth of distorted PC multicultural thinking back to October 2000, when the liberal think tank the Runnymede Trust published a report called The Future Of Multi-Ethnic Britain. The report, commissioned by the equality and human rights guru Trevor Phillips, urged that Britain should become a "community of communities" in which each community would respect the other by avoiding causing offence. He fell for it hook, line and sinker. When one writer dared to criticise the report Phillips compared his article to the "jottings from the BNP leader's weblog". In other words, racism.

"But much to his credit Phillips had a Damascene conversion, assuming I'm allowed to use a Christian analogy. He dramatically called for the end of multiculturalism and demanded that "a core of Britishness" be asserted. Today he says of the report: "It would be fair to say that I made a big mistake. It was a clear statement that some groups can play by their own rules." Inevitably, Phillips was pilloried for telling the truth. Red Ken Livingstone said Phillips had swung so far to the Right he would soon be joining the BNP. In today's shameful PC climate good men are expected to hold their tongues and the human rights lobby holds sway."

Just a humble observation: Ken Livingstone, who hates Jews so much he was suspended from the Labour Party (after much pressure on his friend, the equally bad Labour Leader Jeremy Corbyn), and who was known during Marxism crazed time as Leader of London County Council in the 1980s as "Red Ken", was elected Mayor of London afterwards. Now London has another Mayor of similar "political correctness" aka horseshit. Multiculturalism is divisive, it is the cause of civil wars around the world, it is the opposite of British integration, it breeds disrespect and hatred, it is segregation, it results in apartheid which causes racism, suspicion, fear and anger. Until someone is allowed to broadcast the facts on TV and answer back at the "racist" slurs used to defend terrorism, terrorism won't be stopped.

At 12:00 am,  Anonymous said...

The BBC is too busy giving free publicity to terrorism, to tell the truth.

At 4:24 pm,  Anonymous said...

In the book, "Anti-Imperialism: a Guide for the Movement" (Bookmarks, 2003, 280 pages), Jeremy Corbyn claims that nuclear weapons are unnecessary because the USSR was "no real threat" (far from being a threat, he wanted Communists to replace what he called British Imperialism), and claimed:

"Branding a state as a 'rogue state' has been a pretext for undermining the state ... The declaration of North Korea as some kind of threat is, in a sense, a pretext for stepping up economic and military pressure on that country ... to weaken, if not destroy, the North Korean economy in order to ultimately force some kind of **integration** between North and South Korea. Then we may see the spread of free market capitalism into North Korea." (Emphasis added.)

Note the hatred by Corbyn of the idea that North and South Korea should be integrated by capitalism; he hates integration and peace, preferring instead division, fear and misery.

On 18 May 2002 in Trafalgar Square, Jeremy Corbyn together with Anjem Choudary of the now-outlawed al-Muhajiroun jihadist group (which inspired the London Bridge attackers Khuram Butt and Rachid Redouane, as well as the 2013 murders of Lee Rigby, namely Michael Adebolajo and Michael Adebowale), both ranted anti-Israel hatred to a crowd of 8,000 (as assessed by police). The Weekly Worker newspaper stated of this 18 May 2002 event:

"Al-Muhajiroun chanted 'Skud, Skud Israel', and 'Gas, gas Tel Aviv', along with their support for Bin Laden. Two would-be suicide posers were dressed in combat fatigues with a 'bomb' strapped to their waists."

Anjem Choudary was the leader of terrorist support group al-Muhajiroun, which was outlawed in 2005.

If you want to attack one religion for failing to stop criminals, you should do the same to any other religions that provide cover. The BBC hypocritically exposes abuses in the Catholic Church as failures of "the Catholic Church", but it bends over backwards to try to put imaginary distance between the abusers of Islam and the Islamic religion itself. The hypocrisy here is the partiality, the bias and the inequality of the BBC.

At 4:25 pm,  nige said...

As I argued to one friend recently:

"I think if you are a member of a group which has some extremists in it who carry out terrorism, then eventually you are associated with that, eventually you have to ask whether you are being tarnished and whether verbally denying support for the extremists is really enough. For example, only a small percentage of Nazis actually committed evil acts, but the whole lot were tarnished and the organisations were banned as a result."

There comes a time when "the game isn't worth the candle", to use an old expression of relevance to Britain's future if Corbyn is elected and succeeds in bringing the country back to the stone age, while he is absorbed with his collection of man-hole covers and sycophantic lunatics. When you ban criticisms of Islam on the basis that such criticisms are "offensive", or "Islamophobic", then you're paranoid, illiberal, and pandering to prejudice just the way Chamberlain did with racist terrorists in 1938. But worse than that, by banning all justified criticisms of thin-skinned racist terrorist thugs and their fellow-travelling flock of "Four legs good, two legs better"-chanting sheep (see Orwell's "Animal Farm"), you are giving justification to terrorism.

If you say that Western "imperialist" values are bad because they led to 9/11, you are justifying that terrorism. This is what Jeremy Corbyn does, although he claims using lies that he isn't justifying terrorism: the fact is, like the BBC, Jeremy Corbyn is effectively inviting terrorism by means of telling the terrorists that Western criticisms of Islam are a just cause for it. This is simply not true. The cause of terrorism is not Western resistance to terrorism. *The "hate crime against liberal freedom" is the crime of killing liberal freedom by banning it on the pretext that evil-supporters are "offended" by truth; it is not true that genuine criticisms of any religion "explain" or "cause" terrorism.*

At 4:32 pm,  nige said...

<https://www.spectator.co.uk/2015/11/jeremy-corbyn-isnt-anti-war-hes-just-anti-west/> :

Jeremy Corbyn isn't anti-war. He's just anti-West

And his inability to state his true beliefs defines his leadership of the Labour party

Nick Cohen

Before the bodies in Paris's restaurants were cold, Jeremy Corbyn's Stop the War Coalition knew who the real villains were — and they were not the Islamists who massacred civilians. 'Paris reaps whirlwind of western support for extremist violence in Middle East' ran a headline on its site. The article went on to say that the consequence of the West's 'decades-long, bipartisan cultivation of religious extremism will certainly be more bloodshed, more repression and more violent intervention'.

This flawless example of what I once called the 'kill us, we deserve it' school of political analysis takes us to the heart of Corbyn's beliefs. Even his opponents have yet to appreciate the malign double standards of the new Labour party, though they ought to be clear for all to see by now.

[Post a Comment](#)

[<< Home](#)

Peace through tested, proved and practical declassified countermeasures against the effects of nuclear weapons, chemical weapons and conventional weapons. Credible deterrence through simple, effective protection against invasions and collateral damage. Discussions of the facts as opposed to inaccurate, misleading lies of the "disarm or be annihilated" political dogma variety. Hiroshima and Nagasaki anti-nuclear propaganda debunked by the hard facts. Walls not wars. Walls bring people together by stopping divisive terrorists. **CONVENTIONAL WARS HAVE KILLED TENS OF MILLIONS OF PEOPLE, NUCLEAR WEAPONS CAN RAPIDLY DETER THIS REAL THREAT TO PEACE WITH MINIMAL CASUALTIES.** 'During the critical period 8-15 February [1968], the U.S. command realized [that conventional] bombing was not sufficiently effective. ... The air campaign dropped over 110,000 tons of bombs and napalm on the area around Khe Sanh during the 77-day siege ... the most heavily bombed target in the history of conventional warfare.' – W. C. Yengst, S. J. Lukasik, and M. A. Jensen, *Nuclear Weapons that went to War*, SAID report DSWA-TR-97-25, September 1998 (quoted in the 2015 book by the secret *Capabilities of Nuclear Weapons* editor, Dr Harold L. Brode, *Nuclear Weapons in the Cold War*, page 287). [British Nuclear Test Civil Defence Research](#)

1	2 cms	The National Archives	ins	1	2
Ref.: Prem 11/563 C503799					

SECRETDR. PENNEY'S DRAFT BROADCAST

Recorded: 30 October 1952

15

When the planning began, a lot of thought was given to deciding which type of explosion would provide information and experience of the greatest value. Purely scientific measurements are most easily made when the weapon is placed at the top of a high tower, but there were other weighty considerations. The Civil Defence authorities in this country badly needed more data about atomic explosions and, accordingly, the test was planned to get as much novel information as possible for Civil Defence. The decision was made to explode the weapon in a ship moored near land, thus simulating an explosion in a

CHANGE 1

Field Manual No 101-31-1

NUCLEAR WEAPONS EMPLOYMENT DOCTRINE AND PROCEDURES

Radius of vulnerability (emergency risk criterion: 5% combat ineffective)

Figure 54. Radii of Vulnerability.

CATEGORY	PERSONNEL (LL) IN— (Based on Governing Effect)				
	Open	Open Foxholes	APCs	Tanks	Earth Shelter
Radii listed are distances at which a 5 percent incidence of effect occurs. HOB used is $60W^{1/3}$ meters.					
Yield (KT)					
		(Distances are in meters)			
0.1	700	600	600	500	300
1	1200	900	900	800	500
10	3200	1300	1300	1250	900

**Protective factor = ratio of
area of effect in the open,
area of effect for shelter**

Example: for 300 kt, the protective factor of open foxholes is equal to $(14,000)^2 / (2,100)^2 = 44$.

Open	Open Foxholes	APCs	Tanks	Earth Shelter
1	1.36	1.36	1.96	5.44
1	1.78	1.78	2.25	5.76
1	6.06	6.06	6.55	12.6

	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000		1000	2000	3000	4000	5000
20	4000	1500	1450	1400	1000	1	7.11	7.61	8.16	16.0	
100	8000	1900	1800	1800	1400	1	17.7	19.8	19.8	32.7	
200	12000	2000	1900	1900	1500	1	36.0	39.9	39.9	64.0	
300	14000	2100	1950	1950	1600	1	44.4	51.5	51.5	76.6	

Calculation of the injury-averting protective factors by simple open foxholes and earth shelter function of weapon yield. Most countermeasures are relatively ineffective against tactical nuclear weapons (due to the predominating neutron radiation effect at 0.1 kt yield), but are extremely effective against strategic nuclear weapons with yields of 100, 200 and 300 kt (protective factors of 44 to 76.6).

The definition of protective factor used here is the factor by which casualties numbers are reduced.

Richard P. Feynman, 'This Unscientific Age', in *The Meaning of It All*, Penguin Books, London, 1998, pages 106-9:

'Now, I say if a man is absolutely honest and wants to protect the populace from the effects of radioactivity, which is what our scientific friends often say they are trying to do, then he should work on the biggest number, not on the smallest number, and he should try to point out that the [natural cosmic] radioactivity which is absorbed by living in the city of Denver is so much more serious [than the smaller doses from nuclear explosions] ... that all the people of Denver ought to move to lower altitudes.'

"If a man reads or hears a criticism of anything in which he has an interest, watch ... if he shows concern with any question except 'is it true?' he thereby reveals that his own attitude is unscientific. Likewise if ... he judges an idea not on its merits but with reference to the author of it; if he criticizes it as 'heresy'; if he argues that authority must be right because it is authority ... The path of truth is paved with critical doubt, and lighted by the spirit of objective enquiry... the majority of people have resented what seems in retrospect to have been purely matter of fact ... nothing has aided the persistence of falsehood, and the evils resulting from it, more than the unwillingness of good people to admit the truth ... the tendency continues to be shocked by natural comment, and to hold certain things too 'sacred' to think about ... How rarely does one meet anyone whose first reaction to anything is to ask: 'is it true?' Yet, unless that is a man's natural reaction, it shows that truth is not uppermost in his mind, and unless it is, true progress is unlikely."

- Sir Basil Henry Liddell Hart, *Why Don't We Learn from History?*, PEN Books, 1944; revised edition, Allen and Unwin, 1972.

Civil defense countermeasures, to be taken seriously by the population, require the publication of solid facts with the scientific evidence to support those facts against political propaganda to the contrary. Secrecy over the effects of nuclear weapons tests does not hinder plutonium and missile production by rogue states, but it does hinder civil defense countermeasures, by permitting lying political propaganda to go unopposed (see linked post, here).

Terrorists successfully prey on the vulnerable. The political spreading of lies concerning threats and the alleged 'impossibility' of all countermeasures, terrorizing the population in order to 'justify' supposedly pro-peace disarmament policies in the 1920s-1930s, resulted in the secret rearmament of fascist states which were terrorizing the Jews and others, eventually leading to World War II.

Political exaggerations about nuclear weapons effects today:

(1) encourage terrorist states and other groups to secretly invest in such weapons to use either for political intimidation or for future use against countries which have no countermeasures, and

(2) falsely dismiss, in the eyes of the media and the public, cheap relatively effective countermeasures like civil defense and ABM.

Therefore, doom-mongering media lies make us vulnerable to the proliferation threat today in two ways, just as they led to both world wars:

(1) Exaggerations of offensive technology and a down-playing of simple countermeasures such as trenches, encouraged belligerent states to start World War I in the false belief that modern technology implied overwhelming firepower which would terminate the war quickly on the basis of offensive preparedness: if the facts about simple trench countermeasures against shelling and machine guns during the American Civil War had been properly understood, it would have been recognised by Germany that a long war based on munitions production and logistics would be necessary, and war would have been seen to be likely to lead to German defeat against countries with larger overseas allies and colonies that could supply munitions and the other resources required to win a long war.

(2) Exaggerations of aerial bombardment technology after World War I led to disarmament 'supported by' false claims that it was impossible to have any defense against a perceived threat of instant annihilation from thousands of aircraft carrying gas and incendiary bombs, encouraging fascists to secretly rearm in order to successfully take advantage of the fear and vulnerability caused by this lying political disarmament propaganda.

Contrived dismissal of civil defense by Marxist "Cambridge Scientists Anti-War Group" bigots: (a) appeased war-mongering enemies, and (b) maximised war mortality rates. Idealism kills. Super effective, fully proof-tested, cheap civil defense makes nuclear deterrence credible to stop conventional war devastation by avoiding collateral damage, tit-for-tat retaliation and escalation.

Historically, it has been proved that having weapons is not enough to guarantee a reasonable measure of safety from terrorism and rogue states; countermeasures are also needed, both to make any deterrent credible and to negate or at least mitigate the effects of a terrorist attack. Some people who wear seatbelts die in car crashes; some people who are taken to hospital in ambulances, even in peace-time, die. Sometimes, lifebelts and lifeboats cannot save lives at sea. This lack of a 100% success rate in saving lives doesn't disprove the value of everyday precautions or of hospitals and medicine. Hospitals don't lull motorists into a false sense of security, causing them to drive faster and cause more accidents. Like-minded 'arguments' against ABM and civil defense are similarly vacuous.

'As long as the threat from Iran persists, we will go forward with a missile system that is cost-effective and proven. If the Iranian threat is eliminated, we will have a stronger basis for security, and the driving force for missile-defense construction in Europe will be removed.'

- President Obama, Prague Castle, Czech Republic, 4 April 2009.

Before 9/11, Caspar Weinberger was quizzed by skeptical critics on the BBC News program *Talking Point*, Friday, May 4, 2001: Caspar Weinberger quizzed on new US Star Wars ABM plans:

'The [ABM] treaty was in 1972 ... The theory ... supporting the ABM treaty [which prohibits ABM, thus making nations vulnerable to terrorism] ... that it will prevent an arms race ... is perfect nonsense because we have had an arms race all the time we have had the ABM treaty, and we have seen the greatest increase in proliferation of nuclear weapons that we have ever had. ... So the ABM treaty preventing an arms race is total nonsense. ...

'You have to understand that without any defences whatever you are very vulnerable. **It is like saying we don't like chemical warfare - we don't like gas attacks - so we are going to give up and promise not to have any defences ever against them and that of course would mean then we are perfectly safe. ...**

'The Patriot was not a failure in the Gulf War - the Patriot was one of the things which defeated the Scud and in effect helped us win the Gulf War. One or two of the shots went astray but that is true of every weapon system that has ever been invented. ...

'The fact that a missile defence system wouldn't necessarily block a suitcase bomb is certainly not an argument for not proceeding with a missile defence when a missile that hits can wipe out hundreds of thousands of lives in a second. ...

'The curious thing about it is that missile defence is not an offensive weapon system - missile defence cannot kill anybody. Missile defence can help preserve and protect your people and our allies, and the idea that you are somehow endangering people by having a defence strikes me almost as absurd as saying you endanger people by having a gas mask in a gas attack. ...

‘President Bush said that we were going ahead with the defensive system but we would make sure that nobody felt we had offensive intentions because we would accompany it by a unilateral reduction of our nuclear arsenal. It seems to me to be a rather clear statement that proceeding with the missile defence system would mean fewer arms of this kind.

‘You have had your arms race all the time that the ABM treaty was in effect and now you have an enormous accumulation and increase of nuclear weapons and that was your arms race promoted by the ABM treaty. Now if you abolish the ABM treaty you are not going to get another arms race - *you have got the arms already there* - and if you accompany the missile defence construction with the unilateral reduction of our own nuclear arsenal then it seems to me you are finally getting some kind of inducement to reduce these weapons.’


Before the ABM system is in place, and afterwards if ABM fails to be 100% effective in an attack, or is bypassed by terrorists using a bomb in a suitcase or in a ship, civil defense is required and can be effective at saving lives:

‘Paradoxically, the more damaging the effect, that is the farther out its lethality stretches, the more can be done about it, because in the last fall of its power it covers vast areas, where small mitigations will save very large numbers of people.’

- Peter Laurie, *Beneath the City Streets: A Private Inquiry into the Nuclear Preoccupations of Government*, Penguin, 1974.

‘The purpose of a book is to save people [the] time and effort of digging things out for themselves. ... we have tried to leave the reader with something tangible – what a certain number of calories, roentgens, etc., means in terms of an effect on the human being. ... we must think of the people we are writing for.’

– Dr Samuel Glasstone, DSc, letter dated 1 February 1957 to Colonel Dent L. Lay, Chief, Weapons Effects Division, U.S. Armed Forces Special Weapons Project, Washington, D.C., pages 2 and 4, concerning the preparation of *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*.



Glasstone and Dolan stated in *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons* (1977), Table 12.17 on page 546, that the median distance in Hiroshima for survival after 20 days was 0.12 miles for people in concrete buildings and 1.3 miles for people standing outdoors. Therefore the median distances for survival in modern city buildings and in the open differed by a factor of 11 for Hiroshima; the difference in areas was thus a factor of 11^2 or about 120. Hence, taking cover in modern city buildings reduces the casualty rates and the risks of being killed by a factor of 120 for Hiroshima conditions, contrary to popular media presented political propaganda that civil defence is hopeless. This would reduce 120,000 casualties to 1,000 casualties.


From Dr Glasstone's *Effects of Nuclear Weapons* (1962/64 ed., page 631): ‘At distances between 0.3 and 0.4 mile from ground zero in Hiroshima the average survival rate, for at least 20 days after the nuclear explosion, was less than 20 percent. Yet in two reinforced concrete office buildings, at these distances, almost 90 percent of the nearly 800 occupants survived more than 20 days, although some died later of radiation injury. Furthermore, of approximately 3,000 school students who were in the open and unshielded within a mile of ground zero at Hiroshima, about 90 percent were dead or missing after the explosion. But of nearly 5,000 students in the same zone who were shielded in one way or another, only 26 percent were fatalities. ... survival in Hiroshima was possible in buildings at such distances that the overpressure in the open was 15 to 20 pounds per square inch. ... it is evident ... that the area over which protection could be effective in saving lives is roughly eight to ten times as great as that in which the chances of survival are small.’

Lord Mayhew, House of Lords debate on Civil Defence (General Local Authority Functions) Regulations, Hansard, vol. 444, cc. 523-49, 1 November 1983: ‘... if there had been effective civil defence at Hiroshima probably thousands of lives would have been saved and much human suffering would have been avoided. There is no question about it. ...’

Since the 1977 update by **Glasstone and Dolan**, **extensive new updates to EM-1 for a further revised edition** of *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons* have not actually been published with unlimited public distribution, due to President Carter's 1979 executive order which transferred responsibility for civil defense from the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of Defense's Defense Civil Preparedness Agency to the new agency (which is not an Agency of the U.S. Department of Defense, and is not concerned with the analysis of nuclear weapons test effects data), the Federal Emergency Management Agency. However, the **February 1997 U.S. Department of Defense's Defense Special Weapons Agency 0602715H RDT&E Budget Item Justification Sheet (R-2 Exhibit)** states that a revision of Glasstone and Dolan's unclassified *Effects of Nuclear Weapons* was budgeted for 1997-9:

"FY 1997 Plans: ... Provide text to update Glasstone's book, *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*, the standard reference for nuclear weapons effects. ... Update the unclassified textbook entitled, *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*. ... Continue revision of Glasstone's book, *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*, the standard reference for nuclear weapons effects. ... FY1999 Plans ... Disseminate updated *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*."

The new publications are either classified or unclassified with limited distribution restrictions (e.g., **Bridgman's *Introduction to the Physics of Nuclear Weapons Effects*, which includes several chapters on nuclear weapons design to enable initial radiation outputs to be calculated precisely**) which prevents up-to-date basic nuclear effects information to justify civil defense against the latest nuclear threats from being widely disseminated; the books are printed for use only by government agencies. The problem with this approach is that widespread public understanding of the best information for civil defense countermeasures is prevented.



'The evidence from Hiroshima indicates that blast survivors, both injured and uninjured, in buildings later consumed by fire [caused by the blast overturning charcoal braziers used for breakfast in inflammable wooden houses filled with easily ignitable bamboo furnishings and paper screens] were generally able to move to safe areas following the explosion. Of 130 major buildings studied by the U.S. Strategic Bombing Survey ... 107 were ultimately burned out ... Of those suffering fire, about 20 percent were burning after the first half hour. The remainder were consumed by fire spread, some as late as 15 hours after the blast. This situation is not unlike the one our computer-based fire spread model described for Detroit.'

- Defense Civil Preparedness Agency, U.S. Department of Defense, *DCPA Attack Environment Manual, Chapter 3: What the Planner Needs to Know About Fire Ignition and Spread*, report CPG 2-1A3, June 1973, Panel 27.

***The Effects of the Atomic Bomb on Hiroshima, Japan*, US Strategic Bombing Survey, Pacific Theatre, report 92, volume 2 (May 1947, secret):**

Volume one, page 14:

"... the city lacked buildings with fire-protective features such as automatic fire doors and automatic sprinkler systems", and pages 26-28 state the heat flash in Hiroshima was only:

"... capable of starting primary fires in exposed, easily combustible materials such as dark cloth, thin paper, or dry rotted wood exposed to direct radiation at distances usually within 4,000 feet of the point of detonation (AZ)."

Volume two examines the firestorm and the ignition of clothing by the thermal radiation flash in Hiroshima:

Page 24:

“Scores of persons throughout all sections of the city were questioned concerning the ignition of clothing by the flash from the bomb. ... Ten school boys were located during the study who had been in school yards about 6,200 feet east and 7,000 feet west, respectively, from AZ [air zero]. These boys had flash burns on the portions of their faces which had been directly exposed to rays of the bomb. The boys’ stories were consistent to the effect that their clothing, apparently of cotton materials, ‘smoked,’ but did not burst into flame. ... a boy’s coat ... started to smoulder from heat rays at 3,800 feet from AZ.” [Contrast this to the obfuscation and vagueness in Glasstone, *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*!]

Page 88:

“Ignition of the City. ... Only directly exposed surfaces were flash burned. Measured from GZ, flash burns on wood poles were observed at 13,000 feet, granite was roughened or spalled by heat at 1,300 feet, and vitreous tiles on roofs were blistered at 4,000 feet. ... six persons who had been in reinforced-concrete buildings within 3,200 feet of air zero stated that black cotton blackout curtains were ignited by radiant heat ... dark clothing was scorched and, in some cases, reported to have burst into flame from flash heat [*although as the 1946 unclassified USSBS report admits, most immediately beat the flames out with their hands without sustaining injury, because the clothing was not drenched in gasoline, unlike peacetime gasoline tanker road accident victims*]

“... but a large proportion of over 1,000 persons questioned was in agreement that a great majority of the original fires was started by debris falling on kitchen charcoal fires, by industrial process fires, or by electric short circuits. Hundreds of fires were reported to have started in the centre of the city within 10 minutes after the explosion. Of the total number of buildings investigated [135 buildings are listed] 107 caught fire, and in 69 instances, the probable cause of initial ignition of the buildings or their contents was as follows: (1) 8 by direct radiated heat from the bomb (primary fire), (2) 8 by secondary sources, and (3) 53 by fire spread from exposed [wooden] buildings.”

‘It is true that the Soviets have tested nuclear weapons of a yield higher than that which we thought necessary, but the 100-megaton bomb of which they spoke two years ago does not and will not change the balance of strategic power. The United States has chosen, deliberately, to concentrate on more mobile and more efficient weapons, with lower but entirely sufficient yield ...’ - President John F. Kennedy in his television broadcast to the American public, 26 July 1963.

‘During World War II many large cities in England, Germany, and Japan were subjected to terrific attacks by high-explosive and incendiary bombs. Yet, when proper steps had been taken for the protection of the civilian population and for the restoration of services after the bombing, there was little, if any, evidence of panic. It is the purpose of this book to state the facts concerning the atomic bomb, and to make an objective, scientific analysis of these facts. It is hoped that as a result, although it may not be feasible completely to allay fear, it will at least be possible to avoid panic.’

– Dr George Gamow (the big bang cosmologist), Dr Samuel Glasstone, DSc (Executive Editor of the book), and Professor Joseph O. Hirschfelder, *The Effects of Atomic Weapons*, Chapter 1, p. 1, Paragraph 1.3, U.S. Department of Defense, September 1950.

‘The consequences of a multiweapon nuclear attack would certainly be grave ... Nevertheless, recovery should be possible if plans exist and are carried out to restore social order and to mitigate the economic disruption.’

- Philip J. Dolan, editor of *Nuclear Weapons Employment* FM 101-31 (1963), *Capabilities of Nuclear Weapons* DNA-EM-1 (1972), and *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons* (1977), Stanford Research Institute, Appendix A of the **U.S. National Council on Radiological protection (NCRP) symposium *The Control of Exposure to the Public of Ionising Radiation in the Event of Accident or Attack*, 1981.**

‘Suppose the bomb dropped on Hiroshima had been 1,000 times as powerful ... It could not have killed 1,000 times as many people, but at most the entire population of Hiroshima ... [regarding the hype about various nuclear "overkill" exaggerations] there is enough water in the oceans to drown everyone ten times.’

- **Professor Brian Martin, PhD (physics), 'The global health effects of nuclear war', *Current Affairs Bulletin*, Vol. 59, No. 7, December 1982, pp. 14-26.**

In 1996, half a century after the nuclear detonations, data on cancers from the Hiroshima and Nagasaki survivors was published by D. A. Pierce et al. of the Radiation Effects Research Foundation, RERF (*Radiation Research* vol. 146 pp. 1-27; *Science* vol. 272, pp. 632-3) for 86,572 survivors, of whom 60% had received bomb doses of over 5 mSv (or 500 millirem in old units) suffering 4,741 cancers of which only 420 were due to radiation, consisting of 85 leukemias and 335 solid cancers.

'Today we have a population of 2,383 [radium dial painter] cases for whom we have reliable body content measurements. . . . All 64 bone sarcoma [cancer] cases occurred in the 264 cases with more than 10 Gy [1,000 rads], while no sarcomas appeared in the 2,119 radium cases with less than 10 Gy.'

- **Dr Robert Rowland, Director of the Center for Human Radiobiology, *Bone Sarcoma in Humans Induced by Radium: A Threshold Response?*, *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Meeting, European Society for Radiation Biology, Radioprotection colloquies*, Vol. 32CI (1997), pp. 331-8.**

Zbigniew Jaworowski, 'Radiation Risk and Ethics: Health Hazards, Prevention Costs, and Radiophobia', *Physics Today*, April 2000, pp. 89-90:

'... it is important to note that, given the effects of a few seconds of irradiation at Hiroshima and Nagasaki in 1945, a threshold near 200 mSv may be expected for leukemia and some solid tumors. [Sources: UNSCEAR, *Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation*, New York, 1994; W. F. Heidenreich, et al., *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.*, vol. 36 (1999), p. 205; and B. L. Cohen, *Radiat. Res.*, vol. 149 (1998), p. 525.] For a protracted lifetime natural exposure, a threshold may be set at a level of several thousand millisieverts for malignancies, of 10 grays for radium-226 in bones, and probably about 1.5-2.0 Gy for lung cancer after x-ray and gamma irradiation. [Sources: G. Jaikrishan, et al., *Radiation Research*, vol. 152 (1999), p. S149 (for natural exposure); R. D. Evans, *Health Physics*, vol. 27 (1974), p. 497 (for radium-226); H. H. Rossi and M. Zaider, *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.*, vol. 36 (1997), p. 85 (for radiogenic lung cancer).] The hormetic effects, such as a decreased cancer incidence at low doses and increased longevity, may be used as a guide for estimating practical thresholds and for setting standards. ...

'Though about a hundred of the million daily spontaneous DNA damages per cell remain unrepaired or misrepaired, apoptosis, differentiation, necrosis, cell cycle regulation, intercellular interactions, and the immune system remove about 99% of the altered cells. [Source: R. D. Stewart, *Radiation Research*, vol. 152 (1999), p. 101.] ...

'[Due to the Chernobyl nuclear accident in 1986] as of 1998 (according to UNSCEAR), a total of 1,791 thyroid cancers in children had been registered. About 93% of the youngsters have a prospect of full recovery. [Source: C. R. Moir and R. L. Telander, *Seminars in Pediatric Surgery*, vol. 3 (1994), p. 182.] ... The highest average thyroid doses in children (177 mGy) were accumulated in the Gomel region of Belarus. The highest incidence of thyroid cancer (17.9 cases per 100,000 children) occurred there in 1995, which means that the rate had increased by a factor of about 25 since 1987.

'This rate increase was probably a result of improved screening [not radiation!]. Even then, the incidence rate for occult thyroid cancers was still a thousand times lower than it was for occult thyroid cancers in nonexposed populations (in the US, for example, the rate is 13,000 per 100,000 persons, and in Finland it is 35,600 per 100,000 persons). Thus, given the prospect of improved diagnostics, there is an enormous potential for detecting yet more [fictitious] "excess" thyroid cancers. In a study in the US that was performed during the period of active screening in 1974-79, it was determined that the incidence rate of malignant and other thyroid nodules was greater by 21-fold than it had been in the pre-1974 period. [Source: Z. Jaworowski, *21st Century Science and Technology*, vol. 11 (1998), issue 1, p. 14.]'

'Professor **Edward Lewis** used data from four independent populations exposed to radiation to demonstrate that the incidence of leukemia was linearly related to the accumulated dose of radiation. ... Outspoken scientists, including Linus Pauling, used **Lewis's** risk estimate to inform the public about the danger of nuclear fallout by estimating the number of leukemia deaths that would be caused by the test detonations. In May of 1957 **Lewis's** analysis of the radiation-induced human leukemia data was published as a lead article in *Science* magazine. In June he presented it before the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy of the US Congress.' – Abstract of thesis by Jennifer

Caron, *Edward Lewis and Radioactive Fallout: the Impact of Caltech Biologists Over Nuclear Weapons Testing in the 1950s and 60s*, Caltech, January 2003.

Dr John F. Loutit of the Medical Research Council, Harwell, England, in 1962 wrote a book called Irradiation of Mice and Men (University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London), discrediting the pseudo-science from geneticist **Edward Lewis** on pages 61, and 78-79:

‘... Mole [R. H. Mole, *Brit. J. Radiol.*, v32, p497, 1959] gave different groups of mice an integrated total of 1,000 r of X-rays over a period of 4 weeks. But the dose-rate - and therefore the radiation-free time between fractions - was varied from 81 r/hour intermittently to 1.3 r/hour continuously. The incidence of leukemia varied from 40 per cent (within 15 months of the start of irradiation) in the first group to 5 per cent in the last compared with 2 per cent incidence in irradiated controls. ...

‘What **Lewis** did, and which I have not copied, was to include in his table another group - spontaneous incidence of leukemia (Brooklyn, N.Y.) - who are taken to have received only natural background radiation throughout life at the very low dose-rate of 0.1-0.2 rad per year: the best estimate is listed as 2×10^{-6} like the others in the table. But the value of 2×10^{-6} was not calculated from the data as for the other groups; it was merely adopted. By its adoption and multiplication with the average age in years of Brooklynners - 33.7 years and radiation dose per year of 0.1-0.2 rad - a mortality rate of 7 to 13 cases per million per year due to background radiation was deduced, or some 10-20 per cent of the observed rate of 65 cases per million per year. ...

‘All these points are very much against the basic hypothesis of **Lewis** of a linear relation of dose to leukemic effect irrespective of time. Unhappily it is not possible to claim for **Lewis**’s work as others have done, “It is now possible to calculate - within narrow limits - how many deaths from leukemia will result in any population from an increase in fall-out or other source of radiation” [Leading article in *Science*, vol. 125, p. 963, 1957]. This is just wishful journalese.

‘The burning questions to me are not what are the numbers of leukemia to be expected from atom bombs or radiotherapy, but what is to be expected from natural background Furthermore, to obtain estimates of these, I believe it is wrong to go to [1950s inaccurate, dose rate effect ignoring, data from] atom bombs, where the radiations are qualitatively different [i.e., including effects from neutrons] and, more important, the dose-rate outstandingly different.’

Samuel Glasstone and Philip J. Dolan, *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*, 3rd ed., 1977, pp. 611-3:

‘From the earlier studies of radiation-induced mutations, made with fruitflies [by Nobel Laureate Hermann J. Muller and other geneticists who worked on plants, who falsely hyped their insect and plant data as valid for mammals like humans during the June 1957 U.S. Congressional Hearings on fallout effects], it appeared that the number (or frequency) of mutations in a given population ... is proportional to the total dose ... More recent experiments with mice, however, have shown that these conclusions need to be revised, at least for mammals. [*Mammals are biologically closer to humans, in respect to DNA repair mechanisms, than short-lived insects whose life cycles are too small to have forced the evolutionary development of advanced DNA repair mechanisms, unlike mammals that need to survive for decades before reproducing.*] When exposed to X-rays or gamma rays, the mutation frequency in these animals has been found to be dependent on the exposure (or dose) rate ...

‘At an exposure rate of 0.009 roentgen per minute [0.54 R/hour], the total mutation frequency in female mice is indistinguishable from the spontaneous frequency. [Emphasis added.] **There thus seems to be an exposure-rate threshold below which radiation-induced mutations are absent** ... with adult female mice ... a delay of at least seven weeks between exposure to a substantial dose of radiation, either neutrons or gamma rays, and conception causes the mutation frequency in the offspring to drop almost to zero. ... **recovery** in the female members of the population would bring about a substantial reduction in the 'load' of mutations in subsequent generations.’

George Bernard Shaw cynically explains groupthink brainwashing bias:

‘We cannot help it because we are so constituted that we always believe finally what we wish to believe. The moment we want to believe something, we suddenly see all the arguments for it and become blind to the arguments against it. The moment we want to disbelieve anything we have previously believed, we suddenly discover not only that there is a mass of evidence against, but that this evidence was staring us in the face all the time.’

From the essay titled 'What is Science?' by Professor Richard P. Feynman, presented at the fifteenth annual meeting of the National Science Teachers Association, 1966 in New York City, and published in *The Physics Teacher*, vol. 7, issue 6, 1968, pp. 313-20:

'... great religions are dissipated by following form without remembering the direct content of the teaching of the great leaders. In the same way, it is possible to follow form and call it science, but that is pseudo-science. In this way, we all suffer from the kind of tyranny we have today in the many institutions that have come under the influence of pseudoscientific advisers.

'We have many studies in teaching, for example, in which people make observations, make lists, do statistics, and so on, but these do not thereby become established science, established knowledge. They are merely an imitative form of science analogous to the South Sea Islanders' airfields - radio towers, etc., made out of wood. The islanders expect a great airplane to arrive. They even build wooden airplanes of the same shape as they see in the foreigners' airfields around them, but strangely enough, their wood planes do not fly. The result of this pseudoscientific imitation is to produce experts, which many of you are. ... you teachers, who are really teaching children at the bottom of the heap, can maybe doubt the experts. As a matter of fact, I can also define science another way: Science is the belief in the ignorance of experts.'

Richard P. Feynman, 'This Unscientific Age', in *The Meaning of It All*, Penguin Books, London, 1998, pages 106-9:

'Now, I say if a man is absolutely honest and wants to protect the populace from the effects of radioactivity, which is what our scientific friends often say they are trying to do, then he should work on the biggest number, not on the smallest number, and he should try to point out that the [natural cosmic] radioactivity which is absorbed by living in the city of Denver is so much more serious [than the smaller doses from nuclear explosions] ... that all the people of Denver ought to move to lower altitudes.'

Feynman is *not* making a point about low level radiation effects, but about the politics of ignoring the massive natural background radiation dose, while provoking hysteria over much smaller measured fallout pollution radiation doses. Why is the anti-nuclear lobby so concerned about banning nuclear energy - which is not possible even in principle since most of our nuclear radiation is from the sun and from supernova debris contaminating the Earth from the explosion that created the solar system circa 4,540 million years ago - when they could cause much bigger radiation dose reductions to the population by concentrating on the bigger radiation source, natural background radiation. It is possible to shield natural background radiation by the air, e.g. by moving the population of high altitude cities to lower altitudes where there is more air between the people and outer space, or banning the use of high-altitude jet aircraft. The anti-nuclear lobby, as Feynman stated back in the 1960s, didn't crusade to reduce the bigger dose from background radiation. Instead they chose to argue against the *much smaller* doses from fallout pollution. Feynman's argument is still today falsely interpreted as a political statement, when it is actually exposing pseudo-science and countering political propaganda. It is still ignored by the media. It has been pointed out by Senator Hickenlooper on page 1060 of the May-June 1957 U.S. Congressional Hearings before the Special Subcommittee on Radiation of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, *The Nature of Radioactive Fallout and Its Effects on Man*:

'I presume all of us would earnestly hope that we never had to test atomic weapons ... but by the same token I presume that we want to save thousands of lives in this country every year and we could just abolish the manufacture of [road accident causing] automobiles ...'

Dihydrogen monoxide is a potentially very dangerous chemical containing hydrogen and oxygen which has caused numerous severe burns by scalding and deaths by drowning, contributes to the greenhouse effect, accelerates corrosion and rusting of many metals, and contributes to the erosion of our natural landscape: 'Dihydrogen monoxide (DHMO) is colorless, odorless, tasteless, and kills uncounted thousands of people every year. Most of these deaths are caused by accidental inhalation of DHMO, but the dangers of dihydrogen monoxide do not end there. Prolonged exposure to its solid form causes severe tissue damage. Symptoms of DHMO ingestion can include excessive sweating and urination, and possibly a bloated feeling, nausea, vomiting and body electrolyte imbalance. For those who have become dependent, DHMO withdrawal means certain death.'

From the site for the petition against dihydrogen monoxide: **'Please sign this petition and help stop This Invisible Killer. Get the government to do something now. ... Contamination Is Reaching Epidemic Proportions! Quantities of dihydrogen monoxide have been found in almost every stream, lake, and reservoir in America today. But the pollution is global, and the contaminant has even been found in Antarctic ice. DHMO has caused millions of dollars of property damage in the Midwest, and recently California.'**

A recent example of the pseudoscientific radiation 'education' masquerading as science that Feynman (quoted above) objected to in the 1960s was published in 2009 in an article called 'The proportion of childhood leukaemia incidence in Great Britain that may be caused by natural background ionizing radiation' in *Leukemia*, vol. 23 (2009), pp. 770–776, which falsely asserts - in contradiction to the evidence that the no-threshold model is *contrary* to Hiroshima and Nagasaki data: 'Risk models based primarily on studies of the Japanese atomic bomb survivors imply that low-level exposure to ionizing radiation, including ubiquitous natural background radiation, also raises the risk of childhood leukaemia. Using two sets of recently published leukaemia risk models and estimates of natural background radiation red-bone-marrow doses received by children, about 20% of the cases of childhood leukaemia in Great Britain are predicted to be attributable to this source.' The authors of this pseudoscience which is the opposite of the facts are R. Wakeford (Dalton Nuclear Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK), G. M. Kendall (Childhood Cancer Research Group, Oxford, UK), and M. P. Little (Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Imperial College, London, UK). It is disgusting and sinful that the facts about childhood leukemia are being lied on so blatantly for non-scientific purposes, and it is to be hoped that these leukemia investigators will either correct their errors or alternatively be banned from using scientific literature to promote false dogma for deception until they mend the error of their ways and repent their sins in this matter.

Protein P53, discovered only in 1979, is encoded by gene TP53, which occurs on human chromosome 17. P53 also occurs in other mammals including mice, rats and dogs. P53 is one of the proteins which continually repairs breaks in DNA, which easily breaks at body temperature: the DNA in each cell of the human body suffers at least two single strand breaks every second, and one double strand (i.e. complete double helix) DNA break occurs at least once every 2 hours (5% of radiation-induced DNA breaks are double strand breaks, while 0.007% of spontaneous DNA breaks at body temperature are double strand breaks)! Cancer occurs when several breaks in DNA happen to occur by chance at nearly the same time, giving several loose strand ends at once, which repair proteins like P53 then repair incorrectly, causing a mutation which can be proliferated somatically. This cannot occur when only one break occurs, because only two loose ends are produced, and P53 will reattach them correctly. But if low-LET ionising radiation levels are increased to a certain extent, causing more single strand breaks, P53 works faster and is able deal with faster breaks as they occur, so that multiple broken strand ends do not arise. This prevents DNA strands being repaired incorrectly, and prevents cancer - a result of mutation caused by faults in DNA - from arising. Too much radiation of course overloads the P53 repair mechanism, and then it cannot repair breaks as they occur, so multiple breaks begin to appear and loose ends of DNA are wrongly connected by P53, causing an increased cancer risk.

1. DNA-damaging free radicals are equivalent to a source of sparks which is always present naturally.
2. Cancer is equivalent the fire you get if the sparks are allowed to ignite the gasoline, i.e. if the free radicals are allowed to damage DNA without the damage being repaired.
3. Protein P53 is equivalent to a fire suppression system which is constantly damping out the sparks, or repairing the damaged DNA so that cancer doesn't occur.

In this way of thinking, the 'cause' of cancer will be down to a failure of a DNA repairing enzyme like protein P53 to repair the damage.

Dr Jane Orient, 'Homeland Security for Physicians', *Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons*, vol. 11, number 3, Fall 2006, pp. 75-9:

'In the 1960s, a group of activist physicians called Physicians for Social Responsibility (PSR) undertook to "educate the medical profession and the world about the dangers of nuclear weapons," beginning with a series of articles in the *New England Journal of Medicine*. [Note that journal was publishing information for anti-civil defense propaganda back in 1949, e.g. the article in volume 241, pp. 647-53 of *New England Journal of Medicine* which falsely suggests that civil defense in nuclear war would be hopeless because a single burned patient in 1947 with 40% body area burns required 42 oxygen tanks, 36 pints of plasma, 40 pints of whole blood, 104 pints of fluids, 4,300 m of gauze, 3 nurses and 2 doctors. First, only unclothed persons in direct line of sight without shadowing can get 40% body area burns from thermal radiation, second, duck and cover offers protection in a nuclear attack warning, and G. V. LeRoy had already published, two years earlier, in *J.A.M.A.*, volume 134, 1947, pp. 1143-8, that less than 5% of burns in Hiroshima and Nagasaki were caused by building and debris fires. In medicine it is always possible to expend vast resources on patients who are fatally injured. In a mass casualty situation, doctors should not give up just because they don't have unlimited resources; as at

Hiroshima and Nagasaki, they would need to do their best with what they have.] On its website, www.psr.org, the group boasts that it "led the campaign to end atmospheric nuclear testing." With this campaign, the linear no-threshold (LNT) theory of radiation carcinogenesis became entrenched. It enabled activists to calculate enormous numbers of potential casualties by taking a tiny risk and multiplying it by the population of the earth. As an enduring consequence, the perceived risks of radiation are far out of proportion to actual risks, causing tremendous damage to the American nuclear industry. ... Efforts to save lives were not only futile, but unethical: Any suggestion that nuclear war could be survivable increased its likelihood and was thus tantamount to warmongering, PSR spokesmen warned. ...

'For the mindset that engendered and enables this situation, which jeopardizes the existence of the United States as a nation as well as the lives of millions of its citizens, some American physicians and certain prestigious medical organizations bear a heavy responsibility.

'Ethical physicians should stand ready to help patients to the best of their ability, and not advocate sacrificing them in the name of a political agenda. **Even very basic knowledge, especially combined with simple, inexpensive advance preparations, could save countless lives.'**

Dr Theodore B. Taylor, *Proceedings of the Second Interdisciplinary Conference on Selected Effects of a General War*, DASIAC Special Report 95, July 1969, vol. 2, DASA-2019-2, AD0696959, page 298 (also [linked here](#)):

'I must just say that as far as I'm concerned I have had some doubts about whether we should have had a civil defense program in the past. I have no doubt whatsoever now, for this reason, that I've seen **ways in which the deterrent forces can fail to hold things off, so that no matter what our national leaders do, criminal organizations, what have you, groups of people over which we have no control whatsoever, can threaten other groups of people.'**

This point of Taylor is the key fact on the morality. Suppose we disarm and abandon nuclear power. That won't stop fallout from a war, terrorists, or a foreign reactor blast from coming. Civil defence knowledge is needed. Even when America has ABM, it will be vulnerable to wind carried fallout. No quantity of pacifist hot air will protect people against radiation.

Charles J. Hitch and Roland B. McKean of the RAND Corporation in their 1960 book *The Economics of Defense in the Nuclear Age*, Harvard University Press, Massachusetts, pp. 310-57:

'With each side possessing only a small striking force, a small amount of cheating would give one side dominance over the other, and the incentive to cheat and prepare a preventative attack would be strong ... With each side possessing, say, several thousand missiles, a vast amount of cheating would be necessary to give one side the ability to wipe out the other's striking capability. ... the more extensive a disarmament agreement is, the smaller the force that a violator would have to hide in order to achieve complete domination. Most obviously, "the abolition of the weapons necessary in a general or 'unlimited' war" would offer the most insuperable obstacles to an inspection plan, since the violator could gain an overwhelming advantage from the concealment of even a few weapons.'

Disarmament after World War I caused the following problem which led to World War II (reported by Winston S. Churchill in the London Daily Express newspaper of November 1, 1934):

'Germany is arming secretly, illegally and rapidly. A reign of terror exists in Germany to keep secret the feverish and terrible preparations they are making.'

British Prime Minister Thatcher's address to the United Nations General Assembly on disarmament on 23 June 1982, where she pointed out that in the years since the nuclear attacks on Hiroshima and Nagasaki, 10 million people had been killed by 140 non-nuclear conflicts:

'The fundamental risk to peace is not the existence of weapons of particular types. It is the disposition on the part of some states to impose change on others by resorting to force against other nations ... Aggressors do not start wars because an adversary has built up his own strength. They start wars because they believe they can gain more by going to war than by remaining at peace.'

J. D. Culshaw, the then Director of the U.K. Home Office Scientific Advisory Branch, stated in his article in the Scientific Advisory Branch journal *Fission Fragments*, September 1972 (issue No. 19), classified 'Restricted':

'Apart from those who don't want to know or can't be bothered, there seem to be three major schools of thought about the nature of a possible Third World War ...

* 'The first group think of something like World War II but a little worse ...

* '... the second of World War II but very much worse ...

* 'and the third group think in terms of a catastrophe ...

'When the Armageddon concept is in favour, the suggestion that such problems exist leads to "way out" research on these phenomena, and it is sufficient to mention a new catastrophic threat [e.g., 10 years later this was done by Sagan with "nuclear winter" hype, which turned out to be fake because modern concrete cities can't produce firestorms like 1940s wooden-built areas of Hamburg, Dresden and Hiroshima] to stimulate research into the possibilities of it arising. The underlying appeal of this concept is that if one could show that the execution of all out nuclear, biological or chemical warfare would precipitate the end of the world, no one but a mad man would be prepared to initiate such a war. [However, as history proves, plenty of mad men end up gaining power and leading countries into wars.]'

J. K. S. Clayton, then Director of the U.K. Home Office Scientific Advisory Branch, stated in his introduction, entitled *The Challenge - Why Home Defence?*, to the 1977 Home Office Scientific Advisory Branch *Training Manual for Scientific Advisers*:

'Since 1945 we have had nine wars - in Korea, Malaysia and Vietnam, between China and India, China and Russia, India and Pakistan and between the Arabs and Israelis on three occasions. We have had confrontations between East and West over Berlin, Formosa and Cuba. There have been civil wars or rebellions in no less than eleven countries and invasions or threatened invasions of another five. Whilst it is not suggested that all these incidents could have resulted in major wars, they do indicate the aptitude of mankind to resort to a forceful solution of its problems, sometimes with success. ...'

It is estimated that Mongol invaders exterminated 35 million Chinese between 1311-40, without modern weapons. Communist Chinese killed 26.3 million dissenters between 1949 and May 1965, according to detailed data compiled by the Russians on 7 April 1969. The Soviet communist dictatorship killed 40 million dissenters, mainly owners of small farms, between 1917-59. Conventional (non-nuclear) air raids on Japan killed 600,000 during World War II. The single incendiary air raid on Tokyo on 10 March 1945 killed 140,000 people (more than the total for nuclear bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki combined) at much less than the \$2 billion expense of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki nuclear bombs! Non-nuclear air raids on Germany during World War II killed 593,000 civilians. The argument that the enemy will continue stocking megaton fallout weapons if we go to cleaner weapons is irrelevant for deterrence, since we're not planning to start war, just to credibly deter invasions. You should not try to lower your standards of warfare to those of your enemy to appease groupthink taboos, or you will end up like Britain's leaders in the 1930s, trying to collaborate with fascists for popular applause.

House of Lords debate *Nuclear Weapons: Destructive Power*, published in Hansard, 14 June 1988:

Lord Hailsham of Saint Marylebone: 'My Lords, if we are going into the question of lethality of weapons and seek thereby to isolate the nuclear as distinct from the so-called conventional range, is there not a danger that the public may think that Vimy, Passchendaele and Dresden were all right—sort of tea parties—and that nuclear war is something which in itself is unacceptable?'

Lord Trefgarne: 'My Lords, the policy of making Europe, or the rest of the world, safe for conventional war is not one that I support.'

House of Commons debate *Civil Defence* published in Hansard, 26 October 1983:

Mr. Bill Walker (Tayside, North): 'I remind the House that more people died at Stalingrad than at Hiroshima or Nagasaki. Yet people talk about fighting a conventional war in Europe as if it were acceptable. One rarely sees demonstrations by the so-called peace movement against a conventional war in Europe, but it could be nothing but ghastly and horrendous. The casualties would certainly exceed those at Stalingrad, and that cannot be acceptable to anyone who wants peace'

On 29 October 1982, Thatcher stated of the Berlin Wall: 'In every decade since the war the Soviet leaders have been reminded that their pitiless ideology only survives because it is maintained by force. But the day comes when the anger and frustration of the people is so great that force cannot contain it. Then the edifice cracks: the mortar crumbles ... one day, liberty will dawn on the other side of the wall.'

On 22 November 1990, she said: 'Today, we have a Europe ... where the threat to our security from the overwhelming conventional forces of the Warsaw Pact has been removed; where the Berlin Wall has been torn down and the Cold War is at an end. These immense changes did not come about by chance. They have been achieved by strength and resolution in defence, and by a refusal ever to be intimidated.'

'The case for civil defence stands regardless of whether a nuclear deterrent is necessary or not. ... Even if the U.K. were not itself at war, we would be as powerless to prevent fallout from a nuclear explosion crossing the sea as was King Canute to stop the tide.' - U.K. Home Office leaflet, Civil Defence, 1982.

'... peace cannot be guaranteed absolutely. Nobody can be certain, no matter what policies this or any other Government were to adopt, that the United Kingdom would never again be attacked. Also we cannot tell what form such an attack might take. Current strategic thinking suggests that if war were to break out it would start with a period of conventional hostilities of uncertain duration which might or might not escalate to nuclear conflict. ... while nuclear weapons exist there must always be a chance, however small, that they will be used against us [like gas bombs in World War II]. ... as a consequence of war between other nations in which we were not involved fall out from nuclear explosions could fall on a neutral Britain. ... conventional war is not the soft option that is sometimes suggested. It is also too easily forgotten that in World War II some 50 million people died and that conventional weapons have gone on killing people ever since 1945 without respite.' - **The Minister of State, Scottish Office (Lord Gray of Contin), House of Lords debate on Civil Defence (General Local Authority Functions) Regulations, Hansard, vol. 444, cc. 523-49, 1 November 1983.**

'All of us are living in the light and warmth of a huge hydrogen bomb, 860,000 miles across and 93 million miles away, which is in a state of continuous explosion.' - Dr Isaac Asimov.

'Dr Edward Teller remarked recently that the origin of the earth was somewhat like the explosion of the atomic bomb...' - Dr Harold C. Urey, *The Planets: Their Origin and Development*, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1952, p. ix.

'But compared with a supernova a hydrogen bomb is the merest trifle. For a supernova is equal in violence to about a million million million hydrogen bombs all going off at the same time.' - Sir Fred Hoyle (1915-2001), *The Nature of the Universe*, Pelican Books, London, 1963, p. 75.

'In fact, physicists find plenty of interesting and novel physics in the environment of a nuclear explosion. Some of the physical phenomena are valuable objects of research, and promise to provide further understanding of nature.' - Dr Harold L. Brode, The RAND Corporation, 'Review of Nuclear Weapons Effects,' *Annual Review of Nuclear Science*, Volume 18, 1968, pp. 153-202.

'It seems that similarities do exist between the processes of formation of single particles from nuclear explosions and formation of the solar system from the debris of a $[4 \times 10^{28}]$ megatons of TNT equivalent, type Ia supernova explosion. We may be able to learn much more about the origin of the earth, by further investigating the process of radioactive fallout from the nuclear weapons tests.' - **Dr Paul K. Kuroda (1917-2001)**, University of Arkansas, 'Radioactive Fallout in Astronomical Settings: Plutonium-244 in the Early Environment of the Solar System,' pages 83-96 of *Radionuclides in the Environment: A Symposium Sponsored By the Division of Nuclear Chemistry and Technology At the 155th Meeting of the American Chemical Society, San Francisco, California, April 1-3, 1968*, edited by Symposium Chairman Dr Edward C. Freiling (1922-2000) of the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory, Advances in Chemistry Series No. 93, American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1970.

Dr Paul K. Kuroda (1917-2001) in 1956 correctly predicted the existence of water-moderated natural nuclear reactors in flooded uranium ore seams, which were discovered in 1972 by French physicist Francis Perrin in three ore deposits at Oklo in Gabon, where sixteen sites operated as natural nuclear reactors with self-sustaining nuclear fission 2,000 million years ago, each lasting several hundred thousand years, averaging 100 kW. The radioactive waste they generated remained in situ for a period of 2,000,000,000 years without escaping. They were discovered during investigations into why the U-235 content of the uranium in the ore was only 0.7171% instead of the normal 0.7202%. Some of the ore, in the middle of the natural reactors, had a U-235 isotopic abundance of just 0.440%. Kuroda's brilliant paper is

entitled, 'On the Nuclear Physical Stability of the Uranium Minerals', published in the *Journal of Chemical Physics*, vol. 25 (1956), pp. 781–782 and 1295–1296.

A type Ia supernova explosion, always yielding 4×10^{28} megatons of TNT equivalent, results from the critical mass effect of the collapse of a white dwarf as soon as its mass exceeds 1.4 solar masses due to matter falling in from a companion star. The degenerate electron gas in the white dwarf is then no longer able to support the pressure from the weight of gas, which collapses, thereby releasing enough gravitational potential energy as heat and pressure to cause the fusion of carbon and oxygen into heavy elements, creating massive amounts of radioactive nuclides, particularly intensely radioactive nickel-56, but half of all other nuclides (including uranium and heavier) are also produced by the '**R' (rapid) process of successive neutron captures by fusion products in supernovae explosions**'. Type Ia supernovae occur typically every 400 years in the Milky Way galaxy. On 4 July 1054, Chinese astronomers observed in the sky (without optical instruments) the bright supernova in the constellation Taurus which today is still visible as the Crab Nebula through telescopes. The Crab Nebula debris has a diameter now of 7 light years and is still expanding at 800 miles/second. The supernova debris shock wave triggers star formation when it encounters hydrogen gas in space by compressing it and seeding it with debris; bright stars are observed in the Orion Halo, the 300 light year diameter remains of a supernova. It is estimated that when the solar system was forming 4,540 million years ago, a supernova occurred around 100 light years away, and the heavy radioactive debris shock wave expanded at 1,000 miles/second. Most of the heavy elements including iron, silicon and calcium in the Earth and people are the stable end products of originally radioactive decay chains from the space burst fallout of a 7×10^{26} megatons thermonuclear explosion, created by fusion and successive neutron captures after the implosion of a white dwarf; a supernova explosion.

How would a 10^{55} megaton hydrogen bomb explosion differ from the **big bang**? Ignorant answers biased in favour of curved spacetime (ignoring quantum gravity!) abound, such as claims that explosions can't take place in 'outer space' (disagreeing with the facts from nuclear space bursts by Russia and America in 1962, not to mention natural supernova explosions in space!) and that explosions produce sound waves in air by definition! There are indeed major differences in the nuclear reactions between the big bang and a nuclear bomb. But it is helpful to notice the solid physical fact that implosion systems suggest the mechanism of gravitation: in implosion, TNT is well-known to produce an *inward* force on a bomb core, but Newton's 3rd law says there is an equal and opposite reaction force *outward*. In fact, you can't have a radially outward force without an inward reaction force! It's the rocket principle. The rocket accelerates (with force $F = ma$) *forward* by virtue of the recoil from accelerating the exhaust gas (with force $F = -ma$) in the *opposite* direction! Nothing massive accelerates without an equal and opposite reaction force. Applying this *fact* to the **measured $6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-2} \sim Hc$ cosmological acceleration of matter radially outward** from observers in the universe which **was predicted accurately in 1996** and later observationally discovered in 1999 (by Perlmutter, et al.), we find an outward force $F = ma$ and inward reaction force by the 3rd law. **The inward force allows quantitative predictions, and is mediated by gravitons, predicting gravitation in a checkable way (unlike string theory, which is just a landscape of 10^{500} different perturbative theories and so can't make any falsifiable predictions about gravity)**. So it seems as if nuclear explosions do indeed provide helpful analogies to natural features of the world, and the mainstream lambda-CDM model of cosmology - with its force-fitted unobserved *ad hoc* speculative 'dark energy' - ignores and sweeps under the rug major quantum gravity effects which increase the physical understanding of particle physics, particularly force unification and the relation of gravitation to the existing electroweak SU(2) x U(1) section of the Standard Model of fundamental forces.

Richard Lieu, Physics Department, University of Alabama, 'Lambda-CDM cosmology: how much suppression of credible evidence, and does the model really lead its competitors, using all evidence?', <http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.2462>.

Even Einstein grasped the possibility that general relativity's lambda-CDM model is at best just a classical approximation to quantum field theory, at the end of his life when he wrote to Besso in 1954:

'I consider it quite possible that physics cannot be based on the [classical differential equation] field principle, i.e., on continuous structures. In that case, nothing remains of my entire castle in the air, [non-quantum] gravitation theory included ...'

'Science is the organized skepticism in the reliability of expert opinion.' - Professor Richard P. Feynman (quoted by Professor Lee Smolin, *The Trouble with Physics*, Houghton-Mifflin, New York, 2006, p. 307).

'The expression of dissenting views may not seem like much of a threat to a powerful organization, yet sometimes it triggers an amazingly hostile response. The reason is that a single dissenter can puncture an illusion of unanimity. ... Among those suppressed have been the engineers who tried to point out problems with the Challenger space shuttle that caused it to blow up. More fundamentally, suppression is a denial of the open dialogue and debate that are the foundation of a free society. Even worse than the silencing of dissidents is the chilling effect such practices have on others. For every individual who speaks out, numerous others decide to play it safe and keep quiet. More serious than external censorship is the problem of self-censorship.'

— Professor Brian Martin, University of Wollongong, 'Stamping Out Dissent', Newsweek, 26 April 1993, pp. 49-50

In 1896, Sir James Mackenzie-Davidson asked Wilhelm Röntgen, who discovered X-rays in 1895: 'What did you think?' Röntgen replied: 'I did not think, I investigated.' The reason? Cathode ray expert J. J. Thomson in 1894 saw glass fluorescence far from a tube, but due to prejudice (expert opinion) he avoided investigating that X-ray evidence! 'Science is the organized skepticism in the reliability of expert opinion.' - Richard Feynman, in Lee Smolin, *The Trouble with Physics*, Houghton-Mifflin, 2006, p. 307.

Mathematical symbols in this blog: your computer's browser needs access to standard character symbol sets to display Greek symbols for mathematical physics. If you don't have the symbol character sets installed, the density symbol ' ρ ' (*Rho*) will appear as 'r' and the ' π ' (*Pi*) symbol will as 'p', causing confusion with the use of 'r' for radius and 'p' for momentum in formulae. This problem exists with Mozilla Firefox 3, but not with Microsoft Explorer which displays Greek symbols.

About Me



Name: nige

Currently designing secure active server page (ASP) front ends for client SQL databases. In 1982 I began programming in basic, and at college learned Fortran while a physics undergraduate a decade later. Afterwards, I switched from mainstream physics and mathematical education to part-time programming student, while working in a series of jobs including four years in credit control. www.quantumfieldtheory.org <http://glasstone.blogspot.co.uk/2015/07/capabilities-of-nuclear-weapons.html/> <http://www.math.columbia.edu/~woit/wordpress/?p=273#comment-5322>. <http://www.math.columbia.edu/~woit/wordpress/?p=353&cpag=1#comment-8728>. <http://www.math.columbia.edu/~woit/wordpress/?p=215#comment-4082>.

[View my complete profile](#)

From 1945-62, America tested 216 nuclear weapons in the atmosphere, totalling 154 megatons, with a mean yield of 713 kilotons

From 1949-62, Russia tested 214 nuclear weapons in the atmosphere, totalling 281 megatons, with a mean yield of 1.31 megatons

From 1952-8, Britain tested 21 nuclear weapons in the atmosphere, totalling 10.8 megatons, with a mean yield of 514 kilotons

From 1960-74, France tested 46 nuclear weapons in the atmosphere, totalling 11.4 megatons, with a mean yield of 248 kilotons

From 1964-80, China tested 23 nuclear weapons in the atmosphere, totalling 21.5 megatons, with a mean yield of 935 kilotons

In summary, from 1945-80, America, Russia, Britain, France and China tested 520 nuclear weapons in the atmosphere, totalling 478.7 megatons, with a mean yield of 921 kilotons

Mean yield of the 5,192 nuclear warheads and bombs in the deployed Russian nuclear stockpile as of January 2009: 0.317 Mt. Total yield: 1,646 Mt.

Mean yield of the 4,552 nuclear warheads and bombs in the deployed U.S. nuclear stockpile as of January 2007: 0.257 Mt. Total yield: 1,172 Mt.

For diffraction damage where damage areas scale as the two-thirds power of explosive yield, this stockpile's area damage potential can be compared to the 20,000,000 conventional bombs of 100 kg size (2 megatons of TNT equivalent total *energy*) dropped on Germany during

World War II: (Total nuclear bomb blast diffraction damaged ground *area*)/(Total conventional blast diffraction damaged ground *area* to Germany during World War II) = $[4,552 \times (0.257 \text{ Mt})^{2/3}] / [20,000,000 \times (0.0000001 \text{ Mt})^{2/3}] = 1,840/431 = 4.3$. Thus, although the entire U.S. stockpile has a TNT *energy* equivalent to 586 times that of the 2 megatons of conventional bombs dropped on Germany in World War II, it is only capable of causing 4.3 times as much diffraction type damage area, because *any given amount of explosive energy is far more efficient when distributed over many small explosions than in a single large explosion! Large explosions are inefficient because they cause unintended collateral damage, wasting energy off the target area and injuring or damaging unintended targets!*

In a controlled sample of 36,500 survivors, 89 people got leukemia over a 40 year period, above the number in the unexposed control group. (Data: *Radiation Research*, volume 146, 1996, pages 1-27.) Over 40 years, in 36,500 survivors monitored, there were 176 leukemia deaths which is 89 more than the control (unexposed) group got naturally. There were 4,687 other cancer deaths, but that was merely 339 above the number in the control (unexposed) group, so this is statistically a much smaller rise than the leukemia result. Natural leukemia rates, which are very low in any case, were increased by 51% in the irradiated survivors, but other cancers were merely increased by just 7%. Adding all the cancers together, the total was 4,863 cancers (virtually all natural cancer, nothing whatsoever to do with radiation), which is just 428 more than the unexposed control group. Hence, the total increase over the natural cancer rate due to bomb exposure was only 9%, spread over a period of 40 years. There was no increase whatsoever in genetic malformations.

There should be a note here about how unnatural radioactive pollution is (not) in space: the earth's atmosphere is a radiation shield equivalent to being protected behind a layer of water 10 metres thick. This reduces the cosmic background radiation by a factor of 100 of what it would be without the earth's atmosphere. Away from the largely uninhabited poles, the Earth's magnetic field also protects us against charged cosmic radiations, which are deflected and end up spiralling around the magnetic field at high altitude, in the Van Allen trapped radiation belts. On the Moon, for example, there is no atmosphere or significant magnetic field so the natural background radiation exposure rate at solar minimum is 1 milliRoentgen per hour (about 10 microSieverts/hour) some 100 times that on the Earth (0.010 milliRoentgen per hour or about 0.10 microSieverts/hour). The Apollo astronauts visiting the Moon wore dosimeters and they received an average of 275 milliRoentgens (about 2.75 milliSieverts) of radiation (well over a year's exposure to natural background at sea level) in over just 19.5 days. It is a lot more than that during a solar flare, which is one of the concerns for astronauts to avoid (micrometeorites are another concern in a soft spacesuit).

The higher up you are above sea level, the less of the atmosphere there is between you and space, so the less shielding you have to protect you from the intense cosmic space radiations (emitted by thermonuclear reactors we call 'stars', as well as distant supernovae explosions). At sea level, the air above you constitutes a radiation shield of 10 tons per square metre or the equivalent of having a 10 metres thick water shield between you and outer space. As you go up a mountain or up in an aircraft, the amount of atmosphere between you and space decreases, thus radiation levels increase with altitude because there is less shielding. The normal background radiation exposure rate shoots up by a factor of 20, from 0.010 to 0.20 milliRoentgens per hour, when any airplane ascends from sea level to 36,000 feet cruising altitude. (The now obsolete British Concorde supersonic transport used to maintain radiation-monitoring equipment so that it could drop to lower-altitude flight routes if excessive cosmic radiation due to solar storms were detected.) Flight aircrew get more radiation exposure than many nuclear industry workers at nuclear power plants. Residents of the high altitude city of Denver get 100 milliRoentgens (about 1 milliSievert) more annual exposure than a resident of Washington, D.C., but the mainstream anti-radiation cranks don't campaign for the city to be shut to save kids radiation exposure, for mountain climbing to be banned, etc.!

1994 revised Introduction to Kearny's Nuclear War Survival Skills, by Dr Edward Teller, January 14, 1994:

'If defense is neglected these weapons of attack become effective. They become available and desirable in the eyes of an imperialist dictator, even if his means are limited. Weapons of mass destruction could become equalizers between nations big and small, highly developed and primitive, if defense is neglected. If defense is developed and if it is made available for general prevention of war, weapons of aggression will become less desirable. Thus defense makes war itself less probable. ... One psychological defense mechanism against danger is to forget about it. This attitude is as common as it is disastrous. It may turn a limited danger into a fatal difficulty.'

Advice of Robert Watson-Watt (Chief Scientist on the World War II British Radar Project, defending Britain against enemy attacks): 'Give them the third best to go on with, the second best comes too late, the best never comes.'

From Wikipedia (a source of groupthink): 'Groupthink is a type of thought exhibited by group members who try to minimize conflict and reach consensus without critically testing, analyzing, and evaluating ideas. Individual creativity, uniqueness, and independent thinking are lost in the pursuit of group cohesiveness, as are the advantages of reasonable balance in choice and thought that might normally be obtained by making decisions as a group. During groupthink, members of the group avoid promoting viewpoints outside the comfort zone of consensus thinking. A variety of motives for this may exist such as a desire to avoid being seen as foolish, or a desire to avoid embarrassing or angering other members of the group. Groupthink may cause groups to make hasty, irrational decisions, where individual doubts are set aside, for fear of upsetting the group's balance.'

Links

- ◆ [Google News](#)
- ◆ [Dr Carl E. Baum's EMP theory and interaction notes](#)
- ◆ [The Atomic Heritage Foundation](#)
- ◆ [Radiation Effects Research Foundation lumps data together to cover up benefits of low dose radiation in Hiroshima and Nagasaki Life Span Study!](#)
- ◆ [DTRA \(Defense Threat Reduction Agency\) Nuclear testing histories \(PDF files\)](#)
- ◆ [Samuel Glasstone and Philip J. Dolan](#)
- ◆ [Carl F. Miller's fallout research at nuclear tests](#)
- ◆ [British Home Office Scientific Advisory Branch](#)
- ◆ [Samuel Cohen's book about the collateral damage averting, invasion-detering neutron bomb he invented, and the lying political attacks he endured as a result](#)
- ◆ [Jerry Emanuelson's review of EMP facts, including the direct dependence of the EMP on the Earth's natural magnetic field strength at the burst location](#)
- ◆ [Essays by 1950s American nuclear weapon effects test \(and neutron bomb design\) experts, discrediting anti-civil defence propaganda](#)
- ◆ [Neutron bomb inventor Samuel Cohen's 2006 book on the history of the neutron bomb, the most moral weapon ever invented due to its purely military deterrent capabilities, and the pseudo-scientific propaganda war he has had to endure from the enemies of deterrence](#)
- ◆ [Karl-Ludvig Grønhaug's EMP reports page with useful PDF downloads on prompt EMP and MHD-EMP measurements from nuclear tests \(Norwegian language\)](#)
- ◆ [Colonel Derek L. Duke's factual book on nuclear weapons accidents, *Chasing Loose Nukes, as told to Fred Dungan*](#)
- ◆ [The H-Bomb and the birth of the Universe: 'For 100 Million years after time began, the universe was dark as pitch. The clouds of hydrogen condensed into huge nuclear fireballs. That moment-when the universe first lit up-was the moment of creation that matters...'](#)
- ◆ [American *EMP Interaction* manual: comprehensive theory of both the EMP source mechanism and the EMP pick-up in cables and antenna by electromagnetic inductance \(30 MB PDF file\)](#)
- ◆ [British Mission to Japan, *The Effects of the Atomic Bombs at Hiroshima and Nagasaki*, H. M. Stationery Office, London, 1946 \(high quality 42.5 MB pdf file\).](#)
- ◆ [1950 edition \(high quality 82.7 MB PDF file\) of U.S. Department of Defense book *The Effects of Atomic Weapons*](#)
- ◆ [1957 edition \(high quality 90.8 MB PDF file\) of subsequently deleted sections on nuclear tests of civil defense countermeasures from U.S. Department of Defense book *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*](#)
- ◆ [1957 edition \(low quality 30.6 MB PDF file\) of entire U.S. Department of Defense book *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*](#)
- ◆ [1962/64 edition \(high quality 188 MB PDF file\) of major revised sections in the U.S. Department of Defense book *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*](#)

- ◆ 1962/64 edition (high quality 43.8 MB PDF file) of 74 pages of subsequently deleted material dealing with thermal ignition of houses at nuclear tests and civil defense countermeasures chapter, from the U.S. Department of Defense book *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*
- ◆ 1977 edition (single 36.8 MB PDF file) of U.S. Department of Defense book *The Effects of Nuclear Weapons*
- ◆ Bill Forstchen, "One Second After" book about EMP attack risk and its effects on USA.
- ◆ U.S. Department of Energy Opennet Documents Online (includes many Nevada and Pacific nuclear test reports as PDF files)
- ◆ Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC)'s Scientific and Technical Information Network (STINET) Service (other declassified Nevada and Pacific test reports)
- ◆ Highlights from ABM testing history
- ◆ THAAD Goes Another ABM Test
- ◆ Alex Wellerstein's Restricted Data blog contains some interesting news (but beware of his uncritical use of unobstructed dry desert and nude skin thermal radiation and other effects predictions from the 1977 edition of Glasstone and Dolan; he deletes critically objective comments and pretends that honest criticisms of propaganda as being ignorant deception are rude as an excuse for ignoring the facts and refusing to engage in objective discussion of controversial aspects of this topic; basically if you pay homage and engage in groupthink bias you may be tolerated).
- ◆ Carey Sublette's Nuclear Weapon Archive (it contains errors from Chuck Hansen's compilation, and it is concentrated on bomb building, not on civil defence countermeasure evaluations done at nuclear tests; note that Chuck Hansen's books and CDs give a false quotation from Neil O' Hines's book *Proving Ground* on the effects of the 1952 Mike explosion on nearby Engebi Island, where Hines later in the book states that the native rats in fact *survived the intense close-in blast, heat und fallout under a few unches of soil, despite the initial ignorant belief that they could not have survived!!!*)

- Quantum Field Theory
- Los Alamos Science journal
- Excellent particle physics gauge theory (fundamental force interaction) issue of Los Alamos Science journal
-



15 MT BRAVO, 3.5 SECONDS. PHOTOGRAPHED FROM 12,500

Chemical and Biological Warfare Protective Measures

ornl

ORNL/TM-10423

**OAK RIDGE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY**

MARTIN MARIETTA

**Technical Options for
Protecting Civilians from
Toxic Vapors and Gases**

C. V. Chester



Everybody has the ability to detect and measure fa...



A problem with hate propaganda from CND's anti nuc...



Truth is the freedom to interpret all of the facts...



Trump's democratic success finally exposes for all...



Christmas 2016 Civil Defence Compendium: world pea...



God bless America! Brexit and Trump election compa...



NEWS: declassified clean nuclear weapons fallout s...




Investigation of the Gamma Radiation Hazards Incid...



Multiculturalism based civil wars, multiculturalis...



The infamy of Jeremy Corbyn's CND pseudoscientific...



The Bank of Japan, Hiroshima, survived 380 m from Ground Zero, within the firestorm area, when fires were extinguished by water buckets by its survivors, the majority of people in the building having survived. Secret US Strategic Bombing Survey report proves civil defense for modern concrete buildings is effective. The building was reopened as a bank on 8 August, merely two days after nuclear attack, and continued in use as a bank until 1992. It remains in Hiroshima. This beautifully designed and sturdy reinforced concrete building was designed in 1936 by Nagano Uheiji. Cook's law: "Any man called Godwin who claims it is immoral to learn the lessons from the results of Nazi racist eugenics pseudoscience and to apply those lessons to those terrible media dominating celebrity-taboo-lovers who appease, cover-up, or defend the continuation of an evil, irrational pseudoscience which is causing unnecessary suffering today, is defending injustice, inhumanity and irrational consensus evil and is not behaving as a humane,

10/06/2017 Peace through tested, proved and practical declassified countermeasures against the effects of nuclear weapons, chemical weapons and conventional weapons. Credible deterrence through simple, effective ...

objective, person. We need to ensure that the worst mistakes of the past are never repeated, if we are just, moral and caring towards our fellow human beings who do not deserve to be fed lies and dangerously complacent one-sided, biased propaganda based on a populist love of obsolete dogma, and/or a hatred of the search for objective fact, by pseudo-educationalists who prefer to live in utopia than in the real world of their fellow folk!"